

COVER-PRESERVING EMBEDDING OF MODULAR LATTICES

E. FRIED AND E. T. SCHMIDT

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove: If a subdirect product of finitely many finite projective geometries has the cover-preserving embedding property, then so does each factor.

In what follows all the lattices will be finite modular ones. A finite lattice K has the cover-preserving embedding property, abbreviated as CPEP with respect a variety V of lattices if whenever K can be embedded into a finite lattice L in V , then K has a cover-preserving embedding into L , that is an embedding f with the property that if a covers b in K then $f(a)$ covers $f(b)$ in L . In a paper of E. Fried, G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, [1] it was proved that a finite projective geometry has the cover-preserving embedding property with respect to the variety M of all modular lattices if and only if one of the following three conditions hold: (i) the length of P is 1; (ii) the length of P is 2 and P is isomorphic to \mathbf{M}_3 ; (iii) the length of P is greater than 2 and either P is non-arguesian or P is arguesian and for some prime p each interval of P of length 2 contains $p + 1$ atoms (i.e. P is a projective geometry over a prime field). Later in E. T. Schmidt, [2] the following theorem was formulated:

Theorem 1. *If a finite modular lattice L has the CPEP with respect to M then L is the subdirect product of projective geometries of type (i)-(iii).*

Really in [2] the following was proved: If a finite modular lattice L has the CPEP with respect to M then L is the subdirect product of projective geometries. The proof that the subdirect components are just the projective geometries (i)-(iii) was missing. This statement seems in the first moment quite trivial, but it is far not so.

Date: April 2, 2000.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 06B10; Secondary 06D05.

Key words and phrases. Cover-preserving sublattice, modular lattice, subdirect product, projective geometry.

The research of both authors was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, under Grant No. 1903.

In this short note we prove that the factors in Theorem 1 are projective geometries of the given type, indeed. First of all we formulate an interesting property of the finite complemented modular lattices. It is easy to see: if $\phi : M \mapsto P$ is a surjection of a finite modular lattice M onto a complemented modular lattice P , then M contains an interval P' such that the restriction of ϕ to P' is an isomorphism between P' and P . If P is a projective geometry then we can prove somewhat more:

Lemma 1. *Let M be any finite modular lattice, P a finite projective geometry with the bounds 0 and 1 and $\phi : M \mapsto P$ a surjective homomorphism. Then, we have:*

1. *There exists a (unique) $a \in M$ with $\phi(a) = 0$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$ implies $x \leq a$.*
2. *There exists a (unique) $b \in M$ with $\phi(b) = 1$ and $b \geq a$ such that $\phi(y) = 1$ and $y \geq a$ together imply $y \geq b$.*
3. *$\phi \upharpoonright [a, b]$ is an isomorphism.*

The interval $[a, b]$ will be called the "natural coimage" of ϕ .

Proof. 1. The set $\{x; \phi(x) = 0\}$ is an ideal which is principal by finiteness. If it is generated by a , then a has the desired property.
 2. The set $\{y; \phi(y) = 1, y \geq a\}$ is a filter which is principal by finiteness. If it is generated by b , then b has the desired property.
 3. The restriction $\psi = \phi \upharpoonright [a, b]$ is obviously onto. Suppose $x = \psi(u) = \psi(v)$. We may suppose $u \leq v$, as well. Let y be a complement of x . By surjectivity, we have a $w \in [a, b]$ such that $\psi(w) = y$. Then

$$\psi(v \wedge w) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(u \vee w) = 1$$

(1) and (2) imply $v \wedge w = a$ and $u \vee w = b$, respectively. Hence, by modularity, $u = v$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let $\phi : M \mapsto P$ as in Lemma 1 and let $\chi : M \mapsto K$ be another homomorphism to the lattice K . Then the restriction of χ maps the natural coimage $[a, b]$ of ϕ either to a single element of K or this restriction is one-to-one on $[a, b]$.*

Lemma 2. *Let L be a subdirect product of the finite projective geometries P_i together with the natural projections $\phi_i : L \mapsto P_i$ and with the natural coimages $[a_i, b_i]$, ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$). Suppose, this is a shortest decomposition. Then, ϕ_i maps $[a_j, b_j]$ to a single element, for $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Suppose, say, that ϕ_2 does not map $[a_1, b_1]$ to a single element. Then by Corollary 1, $\phi_2 \upharpoonright [a_1, b_1]$ is one-to-one.

We are going to show, that in this case we may omit P_1 from the subdirect product. In other words, for $x \in L$ the mapping

$$\psi_1 : x \mapsto (\phi_2(x), \dots, \phi_n(x))$$

is one-to-one.

Let $x \neq y$ be elements of L . If $\phi_1(x) = \phi_1(y)$, then for some i we have $\phi_i(x) \neq \phi_i(y)$, i.e., $\psi_1(x) \neq \psi_1(y)$. Otherwise,

$$\phi_1((a_1 \vee x) \wedge b_1) = \phi_1(x) \neq \phi_1(y) = \phi_1((a_1 \vee y) \wedge b_1),$$

hence, by our condition, $\psi_1((a_1 \vee x) \wedge b_1) \neq \psi_1((a_1 \vee y) \wedge b_1)$. Therefore, we must have $\psi_1(x) \neq \psi_1(y)$, as well. \square

Corollary 2. *Let L be a subdirect product of the projective geometries P_i together with the natural projections $\phi_i : L \mapsto P_i$ and with the natural coimages, $[a_i, b_i]$, ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$). Suppose, this is a shortest decomposition. Let, further, $\psi : L \mapsto K$ a homomorphism which sends $[a_i, b_i]$ onto K for some i . Then ψ sends all $[a_j, b_j]$ to a single element for each $j \neq i$.*

Proof. We have by, Lemma 2,

$$\phi_i((a_i \vee a_j) \wedge b_i) = \phi_i(a_j) = \phi_i(b_j) = \phi_i((a_i \vee b_j) \wedge b_i),$$

hence, $(a_i \vee a_j) \wedge b_i = (a_i \vee b_j) \wedge b_i$, since ϕ_i is one-to-one on $[a_i, b_i]$, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a_j) &= (\psi(a_i) \vee \psi(a_j)) \wedge \psi(b_i) = \psi((a_i \vee a_j) \wedge b_i) \\ &= \psi((a_i \vee b_j) \wedge b_i) = (\psi(a_i) \vee \psi(b_j)) \wedge \psi(b_i) = \psi(b_j). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the Corollary. \square

Lemma 3. *Let L be an irreducible subdirect product of the finite projective geometries P_1, \dots, P_t . If one of the factors fails the CPEP, then so does L .*

Proof. We arrange the factors so that the first s fails CPEP and the other $t - s$ satisfies it. Let A_i denote the number of atoms in P_i . We choose P_1 so that it has the highest dimension among the first s component and, that $A_1 \geq A_2$ for $i \leq s$ if $\dim(P_i) = \dim(P_1)$. We arrange the first s factors so that P_1, \dots, P_r are isomorphic to P_1 and P_{r+1}, \dots, P_s are non-isomorphic to P_1 . By our assumption there exist a lattice Q_1 such that P_1 has an embedding into it but P_1 has no cover-preserving embedding into it.

Now, we define $Q_i = Q_1$ for $i \leq r$ and $Q_i = P_i$ for $i > r$, and consider the direct product

$$\widehat{L} = Q_1 \times Q_2 \times \dots \times Q_r \times Q_{r+1} \times \dots \times Q_t.$$

L has an obvious embedding into \widehat{L} . We prove that L has no cover-preserving embedding into \widehat{L} . Assume, by way of contradiction, that $g : L \mapsto \widehat{L}$ is a cover-preserving embedding. Then, the restriction of g to each natural coimage $[a_i, b_j]$ is a cover-preserving embedding, as well. Let g_j denote the embedding g followed by the j -th projection of \widehat{L} . By Corollary 1., the restriction of g_j is either a cover-preserving embedding of $[a_i, b_i]$ into Q_j or it sends this interval to a single element. Since g is an embedding and \widehat{L} is written as a direct product, for every i must exist a j such that g_j yields a cover-preserving embedding of $[a_i, b_i]$ into Q_j . However, we are going to prove that this is impossible for $i = 1$. We have to distinguish some cases.

Case 1. $j \leq r$. By our choice, $[a_1, b_1] \cong P_1$ has no cover-preserving embedding into Q_1 .

Case 2. $r < j \leq s$ and $\dim(P_j) < \dim(P_1)$. Then, $Q_j = P_j$, hence P_1 cannot be a sublattice of P_j .

Case 3. $r < j \leq s$. Let $\dim(P_k) \geq \dim(P_1)$. Then, by our choice, we must have $\dim(P_j) = \dim(P_1)$. However, in this case we have $A_j \leq A_1$. If $A_j < A_1$, then P_1 has no embedding into P_j , whereas $A_j = A_1$ yields $P_j \cong P_1$, i.e., $j \leq r$, which was discussed in Case 1.

Case 4. $j > s$. Since $Q_j = P_j$ in this case, there exist isomorphisms $h_j : Q_j \rightarrow [a_j, b_j]$ for $(j = s + 1, \dots, t)$. Let, further, k_j denote the restriction $g_j \upharpoonright [a_j, b_j]$. By $j.s$ (i.e., by $Q_j = P_j$) and by Corollary 1., if $\text{Im}(k_j)$ has more than one element, then g_j maps all the other $[a_i, b_i]$ to a single element. In other words:

(★): If g_j maps $[a_i, b_i]$ isomorphically into Q_j , then k_j is trivial (i.e., maps to a single element).

(In what follows, we shall use the notation g_j for the restriction $g_j \upharpoonright [a_n, b_n]$, as well, provided that the image of this interval has more than one element.)

Now, we have the cover-preserving embedding $[a_1, b_1] \rightarrow P_{j_1}$. Then, by (★) k_{j_1} is trivial. Hence, we must have a g_{j_2} embedding $[a_{j_1}, b_{j_1}]$ into Q_{j_2} . If $j_2 > s$, then we can continue our procedure. Corollary 1. assure that this chain cannot close, that is there exists an n , such that $j_n \leq s$. Now, consider the diagram:

$$[a_1, b_1] \xrightarrow{g_{j_1}} Q_{j_1} \xrightarrow{h_{j_1}} [a_{j_1}, b_{j_1}] \xrightarrow{g_{j_2}} Q_{j_2} \xrightarrow{h_{j_2}} \dots \xrightarrow{h_{j_{n-1}}} [a_{j_{n-1}}, b_{j_{n-1}}] \xrightarrow{g_{j_n}} Q_{j_n}.$$

Here, the first, third, etc. mappings are cover-preserving embeddings whereas the second, fourth, etc are isomorphisms. Hence, their product yields a cover-preserving embedding of $[a_1, b_1]$ into Q_{j_n} for some $j_n \leq s$ contradicting one of the first three cases.

□

Remarks.1. Some results of this paper remain valid for modular lattices of finite length.

2. The Theorem gives only a necessary condition for modular lattices to have (CPEP). It seems to be very complicated to characterize the finite distributive lattices satisfying (CPEP).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Fried, G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, *Projective geometries as cover-preserving sublattices*, Algebra Universalis.
- [2] E.T. Schmidt, *Cover-preserving embedding*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, **23** (1991), 17-24.

EÖTVÖS UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST
DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY
BUDAPEST, MUZEUM-KRT. 6-8
HUNGARY
E-mail address: efried@ludens.elte.hu

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST
TRANSPORT ENGINEERING FACULTY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
1111 BUDAPEST
MŰEGYETEM RKP. 9
HUNGARY
E-mail address: h1175sch@ella.hu