

DO WE NEED COMPLETE-SIMPLE DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES?

G. GRÄTZER AND E. T. SCHMIDT

A complete lattice L is called *complete-simple* if it has no nontrivial complete congruence relations, that is, it has no congruence relation that satisfies the Substitution Property with respect to complete joins and meets. The two-element lattice, \mathfrak{C}_2 , is trivially a distributive complete-simple lattice. In [3] and [4], we have proved the existence of infinite distributive complete-simple lattices.

We used infinite distributive complete-simple lattices in proving the Representation Theorem in [5]: *Every complete lattice L can be represented as the lattice of complete congruence relations of a complete distributive lattice K .*

Of course, infinite distributive complete-simple lattices are not needed as K ; indeed, if K is an infinite distributive complete-simple lattice, then the lattice of complete congruences of K is the same as the lattice of complete congruences of the lattice \mathfrak{C}_2 .

However, in this note we want to observe that the Representation Theorem does require the existence of infinite distributive complete-simple lattices. Let us define a *complete quotient* of a complete lattice L as the quotient L/Θ modulo a complete congruence Θ . We prove the following two results:

Proposition 1. *Let D be a complete distributive lattice, and let the lattice of complete congruences of D be the three-element chain. Then D has an infinite distributive complete-simple lattice as a complete quotient.*

Proposition 2. *Let D be a complete distributive lattice, and let the lattice of complete congruences of D be the five-element nonmodular lattice, \mathfrak{N}_5 . Then D has an infinite distributive complete-simple lattice as a complete quotient.*

Proof of Proposition 1. Let Θ be the only nontrivial complete congruence relation of D . Then the quotient lattice, D/Θ , is a complete-simple lattice because Θ is a maximal proper complete congruence relation. If D/Θ is infinite, then it is an infinite distributive complete-simple lattice, and the proposition is proved. By way of contradiction, let us assume that D/Θ is finite; then it is a two-element lattice. Therefore, Θ has two congruence classes. Since Θ is complete, the smaller congruence class has a largest element, a , and the larger congruence class has a smallest element, b . It follows that $a \prec a \vee b$.

It was observed in [1] that the (ordinary) principal congruence relation $\Theta(a, a \vee b)$ is a complete congruence. Obviously, $\Theta(a, a \vee b)$ is the complement of Θ in the lattice of all complete congruence relations of D , contradicting that the lattice of all complete congruence relations is the three-element chain. \square

Date: December 21, 1992.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 06B10; Secondary 06A23.

Key words and phrases. Congruence lattice, complete congruence.

The research of the first author was supported by the NSERC of Canada.

The research of the second author was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, under Grant No. 1903.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let Θ, Φ, Ψ be the nontrivial complete congruence relation of D , where $\Psi < \Theta$. As in the proof of Proposition 1, by way of contradiction, we can assume that D/Θ is finite, and conclude that there is a covering pair of elements $a \prec a \vee b$ in D such that $\Theta(a, a \vee b)$ is the complement of Θ in the lattice of all complete congruence relations of D . Then $\Phi = \Theta(a, a \vee b)$. It now follows that D/Φ is infinite because if it were finite, so would be D , contradicting that the complete congruence lattice is nondistributive. So D/Φ is the required infinite complete-simple lattice quotient. \square

Similar arguments work in many other cases.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Freese, G. Grätzer, and E. T. Schmidt, *On complete congruence lattices of complete modular lattices*, International J. of Algebra and Computation, **1** (1991), pp. 147–160.
- [2] G. Grätzer, *General Lattice Theory*, Academic Press, New York, N. Y., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [3] G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, “*Complete-simple*” distributive lattices, Abstracts of papers presented to the Amer. Math. Soc. **91**, pp. T-06-171. To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [4] G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, *Another construction of “complete-simple” distributive lattices*. To appear in Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged).
- [5] G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, *Complete congruence lattices of complete distributive lattices*. Submitted to J. Alg.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG, MAN. R3T 2N2, CANADA

E-mail address: George.Gratzer@umanitoba.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TRANSPORT ENGINEERING FACULTY, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST, MŰEGYETEM RKP. 9, 1111 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: h1175sch@ella.hu