SEPARATUM

ACTA MATHEMATICA ACADEMIAE SCIENTIARUM HUNGARICAE

TOMUS XIII

FASCICULI 1—2

G. GRÄTZER and E. T. SCHMIDT

ON CONGRUENCE LATTICES OF LATTICES

ON CONGRUENCE LATTICES OF LATTICES

Bv

G. GRÄTZER and E. T. SCHMIDT (Budapest)
(Presented by L. RÉDEI)

In this note we prove some theorems on congruence lattices of lattices. The results are listed in $\S 1$, where two unsolved problems are also mentioned. The proofs are given in $\S\S 2-3$.

§ 1. Results and problems

If K is a lattice, then let $\Theta(K)$ denote the lattice of all congruence relations of K. It is known (see [1]) that $\Theta(K)$ is a distributive lattice satisfying some continuity properties (see below). It is natural to ask about the lattice-theoretical characterization of $\Theta(K)$. If K is finite, then $\Theta(K)$ is also finite, and conversely, every finite distributive lattice L is isomorphic to a $\Theta(K)$ where K is finite too. This theorem is due to K. P. DILWORTH and is mentioned in [1] without proof. No proof of this theorem has been published as yet.

In this note we give a proof of this theorem; some generalizations are also mentioned.

Before stating the results some notions are needed. A lattice K is called section complemented if K has a least element 0, and if every x with $x \le y$ has a complement z in [0, y], i. e. $x \cap z = 0$, $x \cup z = y$. The length of a chain C of n+1 elements is n, and the length of a finite lattice K is n if K contains a subchain of length n but no subchain of length n+1.

THEOREM 1. Let L be a finite distributive lattice of length n. Then there exists a finite lattice K = K(L) having the following properties:

- (i) K is section complemented;
- (ii) $\Theta(K)$ is isomorphic to L;
- (iii) the length of K is at most 2n-1;
- (iv) if L is irreducible, then also K is irreducible¹;
- (v) the congruences of K are permutable.

We do not use² the finiteness of L, only the fact that the partially ordered set P of join irreducible elements of L determines L, in fact: $L \cong 2^{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{P})$ denotes the dual of \tilde{P} , $2^{\tilde{P}}$ denotes the lattice of all monotone functions defined on \tilde{P} with values in the chain 2 of two elements). Thus we get

¹ In fact, much more is true. If $K_1 = K(L_1)$, $K_2 = K(L_2)$, $L = L_1 \times L_2$, K = K(L), then $K = K_1 \times K_2$ and, conversely, if K = K(L), $K = K_1 \times K_2$, then we can decompose $L = L_1 \times L_2$ so that $K_1 = K(L_1)$, $K_2 = K(L_2)$.

² This remark is also due to R. P. DILWORTH.

THEOREM 2. Let P be a partially ordered set. Then there exists a section complemented locally finite³ lattice K such that $\Theta(K) \cong 2^{P}$.

One can give several lattice-theoretical characterizations of 2^{P} . Some of them are included in

THEOREM 3. The following conditions⁴ on the lattice L are equivalent:

- (i) there exists a partially ordered set P such that $L \cong 2^p$;
- (ii) L is isomorphic to a complete sublattice of an atomic complete Boolean algebra;
- (iii) L is complete and \vee -distributive and every element of L is a (complete) join of completely join-irreducible elements;
- (iv) L is a distributive compactly generated lattice in which every compact element is a finite join of join-irreducible compact elements;
- (v) L is isomorphic to the lattice of all ideals of F, where F is a distributive join semilattice with zero, such that every element of F is a finite join of join-irreducible elements.

Finally, we give some conditions on a lattice K assuring that $\Theta(K)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.

If K is a lattice, and a, b, c, $d \in K$, then we write $\overline{a, b} \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} \overline{c, d}$ if

$$(a \cap b) \cup (c \cap d) = c \cap d$$
, $(a \cup b) \cup (c \cap d) = c \cup d$

or

$$(a \cup b) \cap (c \cup d) = c \cup d$$
, $(a \cap b) \cap (c \cup d) = c \cap d$.

 $\overline{a,b} \to \overline{c,d}$ means the existence of a sequence $x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n$ such that $\overline{a,b} \to \overline{c,d}$. The interval [a,b] is irreducible if $a=z_0,...,z_n=b$, and $\overline{e,f} \to \overline{z_{i-1}},\overline{z_i}$ or $g,h \to \overline{z_{i-1}},\overline{z_i}$ for every i imply that either the first or the second relation holds for all i. Following CRAWLEY [4], [a,b] is called minimal if $\overline{a,b} \to \overline{e,f}$ implies the existence of a sequence $a=z_0,...,z_n=b$, such that $\overline{e,f} \to \overline{z_{i-1}},\overline{z_i}$ for all i. Obviously, every minimal interval is irreducible, the converse does not hold in general.

THEOREM 4. The following conditions on the lattice K are equivalent:

- (1) for any $a, b \in K$ $(a \le b)$ there is a sequence $a = z_0, ..., z_n = b$ such that all the intervals $[z_{i-1}, z_i]$ are irreducible;
- (2) in $\Theta(K)$ the law (DID) $\Theta \cup \wedge (\Theta_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A) = \wedge (\Theta \cup \Theta_{\alpha}; \alpha \in A)$ unrestrictedly holds:
 - (3) there exists a partially ordered set P such that $\Theta(K) \cong 2^{P}$;
- (4) $\Theta(K)$ is isomorphic to a complete sublattice of an atomic complete Boolean algebra.
 - ³ I. e. every interval [0, a] is finite.
- ⁴ $x \in L$ is join irreducible if $x = \bigvee_{i=1}^n y_i$ implies $x = y_i$ for some i = 1, 2, ..., n; completely join irreducible if $x = \bigvee(y_\alpha, \alpha \in A)$ implies $x \in \{y_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$. The element x is called compact if $x \le \bigvee(y_\alpha, \alpha \in A)$ implies $x \le \bigvee(y_\alpha, \alpha \in B)$ for some finite $B \subseteq A$. L is compactly generated if it is complete and every element is the (complete) join of compact elements. A join semilattice F is distributive if $t \le x \cup y$ implies $t = x_1 \cup y_1$ with $x_1 \le x$, $y_1 \le y$. A non-void subset I of F is an ideal if $x \cup y \in I$ is equivalent to x, $y \in I$. The set I(F) of all ideals of a distributive join semilattice F partially ordered under set inclusion is a distributive lattice. L is \bigvee -distributive if $x \cap \bigvee(x_\alpha, \alpha \in A) = \bigvee(x \cap x_\alpha, \alpha \in A)$.

Condition (1) is rather complicated, the equivalence of the others is more interesting. We included (1) in order to get a theorem of CRAWLEY as a simple corollary. Some easy corollaries of Theorem 4 are the following:

COROLLARY 1. (CRAWLEY [4].) $\Theta(K)$ is a Boolean algebra if and only if for any $a, b \in K$ ($a \le b$) there is a sequence $a = z_0, ..., z_n = b$ such that all the $[z_{i-1}, z_i]$ are minimal.

COROLLARY 2. (HASHIMOTO [8], GRÄTZER and SCHMIDT [5].) The following conditions on a distributive lattice K are equivalent:

- (1) K is locally finite (i. e. every interval is finite);
- (2) $\Theta(K)$ is a Boolean algebra;
- (3) in $\Theta(K)$ (DID) unrestrictedly holds.

COROLLARY 3. $\Theta(K)$ is a chain if and only if every interval is irreducible.

The following two problems are worth mentioning:

PROBLEM 1. Let L be a compactly generated distributive lattice. Does there exist a lattice K such that $\Theta(K) \cong L$. Are further conditions on L necessary if we require K to be section complemented?

PROBLEM 2. Determine the least integer $\delta(n)$ such that to any distributive lattice L of length n there exists a lattice K with $\Theta(K) \cong L$ and of length at most $\delta(n)$.

§ 2. The proof of Theorem 1

Let L be a distributive lattice, and $P = \{p, q, r, ...\}$ the set of non-zero join irreducible elements of L. The partial ordering relation in P is denoted by < the covering relation by <. Our goal is to construct a lattice K with the properties (i)-(v) of Theorem 1.

We define the set H as follows: the elements of H are those of P taken in two copies: q^1 , q^2 ($q \in P$); we set $q^1 = q^2$ if and only if q is maximal in P. Let us agree that q' denotes any one of q^1 , q^2 ; then q'' will stand for the other of q^1 , q^2 .

We say that a subset G of H is closed if

(1) p < q and $q', p' \in G$ imply $p'' \in G$. It is trivial that the set H is closed, and the intersection of any number of closed sets is again closed. Thus the closed subsets of H form a lattice K = K(L). We prove that K satisfies (i)—(v) of Theorem 1.

If $G \subseteq H$, there exists a least closed set \overline{G} containing G. We denote by \bigcup and \bigcap the join and meet in K, while by \vee , \wedge , \setminus the set theoretical join, meet and difference. We identify the element p' of H with the atom $\{p'\}$ of K. If $G, N \in K$, then

$$G \cup N = \overline{G \vee N}, \quad G \cap N = G \wedge N.$$

Now let $G, N \in K$, $G \supseteq N$. We define F as $(G \setminus N) \setminus F_1$ where F_1 consists of all $p' \in G \setminus N$ satisfying

(2) there exists a q such that $p \prec q$, $q' \in G \setminus N$, $p'' \in N$.

We prove that F is the complement of N in G. First we prove $F \in K$, i. e. that F satisfies (1). Let us suppose $p \prec q$, q', $p' \in F$. G is closed, thus $p'' \in G$. But $p'' \in N$

is impossible, for this implies $p' \in F_1$ by (2), contradicting $p' \in F$. $p'' \in F_1$ implies by (2) $p' \in N$, contradicting $p' \in F$, hence $p'' \notin F_1$. Thus $p'' \in G$, $p'' \notin N$, $p'' \notin F_1$, therefore $p'' \in F$, so F is closed.

 $F \cap N \subseteq (G \setminus N) \land N = 0$, therefore $F \cap N = 0$. Finally, we prove $F \cup N = G$. But $F \lor N \subseteq (G \setminus N) \lor N = G$, hence it is enough to prove that $p' \in G$ implies $p' \in F \cup N$. But if $p' \in G$, $p' \notin F \cup N$, then $p' \in F_1$, hence p' and a suitable q satisfy (2). We choose p so that q be as great as possible. $q' \in F$, for if $q' \notin F$, then $q' \in F_1$ and there exists an r such that $q \prec r, r' \in G \setminus N$. Thus q' and r' satisfy (2), $q \prec r$ contradicting the maximality of q. Thus $q' \in F$, $p' \in F_1$, and so $p'' \in N$. Hence $p \prec q$, p'', $q' \in F \cup N$, so (1) implies $p' \in F \cup N$ finishing the proof of part (i) of Theorem 1.

Now we fix $r \in P$ and define A(r) to consist of all p', p'' such that $p \le r$. A(r) obviously satisfies

(3) $p' \in A(r)$ implies $p'' \in A(r)$.

Thus every A(r) satisfies (1) i. e. $A(r) \in K$. Now we prove the equality

(4) $A(r) \cup N = A(r) \vee N$ for every $N \in K$.

It is enough to prove that $A(r) \vee N$ is closed. To this end let p'', $q' \in A(r) \vee N$ and $p \prec q$. If any one of p'', q' is in A(r), then $p \leq r$, thus $p' \in A(r) \vee N$. If no one of them is in A(r), then p'', $q' \in N$, thus by the closedness of N we get $p' \in N \subseteq A(r) \vee N$, so $A(r) \vee N$ is closed.

The equality

$$A(r) \lor (X \land Y) = (A(r) \lor X) \land (A(r) \lor Y) \quad (X, Y \in K)$$

is trivial. But every \vee may be replaced by \cup owing to (4) and the \wedge by \cap , so we get

$$A(r) \cup (X \cap Y) = (A(r) \cup X) \cap (A(r) \cup Y)$$
 for all $X, Y \in K$,

which means, in the terminology of O. ORE [11], that A(r) is a distributive element of K, implying ([11], pp. 622-623) that the principal ideal generated by A(r) is a congruence class under a suitable congruence relation.

It is well known ([1], p. 23) that in a section complemented lattice every congruence relation Θ is completely determined by the ideal $I(\Theta) = \{x; x \equiv 0 \ (\Theta)\}$. Thus $\Theta > \Phi$ if and only if $I(\Theta) \supset I(\Phi)$. Further, every ideal I of a finite lattice is determined by its greatest element; let $A(\Theta)$ denote the greatest element of $I(\Theta)$. Thus $\Theta \to A(\Theta)$ is a one-to-one order preserving correspondence between $\Theta(K)$ and the elements $A(\Theta)$.

We have already proved that every A(r) is an $A(\Theta)$, now we prove that every join-irreducible $A(\Theta)$ is an A(r). Let $\Theta \in \Theta(K)$ and let r' be an atom of K such that $r' \equiv 0$ (Θ). Denote by $\Theta(r')$ the least congruence relation under which $r' \equiv 0$. Obviously $\Theta = \bigvee (\Theta(r'); \ r' \equiv 0 \ (\Theta));$ hence if Θ is join-irreducible, it follows that $\Theta = \Theta(r')$. We prove that $p \in A(r)$ implies $p \equiv 0$ (Θ). Two facts must be proved. First: $p' \equiv 0$ (Θ) implies $p'' \equiv 0$ (Θ), secondly: $p \prec q, q' \equiv 0$ (Θ) imply $p' \equiv 0$ (Θ). These two assertions prove the above one mentioned because by the finiteness of P = P < r implies the existence of a chain $P = P_1 \prec P_2 \prec \ldots \prec P_n = r$ and an n-fold application of the two assertions implies $p' \equiv 0$ (Θ). To prove the first assertion we may suppose $p' \neq p''$, and then there is an $s \in P$ such that $p \prec s$; $p' \equiv 0$ (Θ) implies $p' \cup s' \equiv s'(\Theta)$ and by (1) $p'' \leq p \cup s'$, thus $p'' = p'' \cap (p' \cup s') \equiv p'' \cap s' = 0$ (Θ). Now we prove the second assertion: $q' \equiv 0$ (Θ) implies $p' \cup q' \equiv p'$ (Θ) and $p'' \cup q' \equiv p''$ (Θ); but $p' \prec p'' \cup q'$,

 $p'' < p' \cup q'$ by (1), thus $p' \cup q' = p'' \cup q'$. Taking the meet of the two congruences we get $p'' \cup q' \equiv 0$ (Θ), and meeting by p'' we conclude $p'' \equiv 0$ (Θ), as desired.

To sum up: there is a one-to-one order preserving correspondence between the join-irreducible congruences of K and the A(r) and between the A(r) and r; hence the partially ordered set of join-irreducible congruences is isomorphic to P, finishing the proof of (ii) of Theorem 1.

In [7] we have proved that in a section complemented lattice the congruences are permutable; this establishes (v) of Theorem 1.

Instead of part (iv) of Theorem 1 we prove the assertion of the footnote to part (iv). Let $L = L_1 \times L_2$ and $P_1 = P \wedge L_1$, $P_2 = P \wedge L_2$. Then $P = P_1 \vee P_2$ and $x \in P_1$, $y \in P_2$ imply that x and y are incomparable, in symbol $P = P_1 + P_2$ (cardinal sum). This obviously implies $K(L) = K(L_1) \times K(L_2)$. The converse statement may be proved in the same way.

It remained to prove (iii). K is a section complemented finite lattice, consequently, its length is less than or equal to the number of atoms. We prove that K has at most 2n-1 atoms. Indeed, if x denotes the number of maximal elements of P, then K has x+2(n-x)=2n-x atoms. The smaller is the x the greater is the number of atoms of K. The least value of x is 1, so K has at most 2n-1 atoms. The estimation is the best possible, for if $L=2^{n-1}+1$, i. e. L is a Boolean algebra of n-1 atoms, with a new unit element adjoined, then the length of L is L and the length of L is exactly L and the

§ 3. The infinite case

Let P be an arbitrary partially ordered set, and define H by taking every element of P in two copies p^1 , p^2 . We agree again in putting $p^1 = p^2$ if and only if p is maximal in P. The subset $G \subseteq H$ is closed if

(1')
$$p < q, p', q' \in G \text{ implies } p'' \in G.$$

We define K as the lattice of all *finite* closed subsets of H. K is a lattice, for the closure of a finite subset of H is finite again. One can prove in the very same way as in the finite case that K is a section complemented lattice.

To every $r \in P$ we define the ideal $\mathfrak{A}(r)$ consisting of all $A \in K$ such that $p' \in A$ implies $p \geq r$. The reasoning that proved in the finite case that A(r) is a distributive element, proves now that $\mathfrak{A}(r)$ is a distributive ideal. Further, if $r \equiv 0$ (Θ) in K, then $A \equiv 0$ (Θ) for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}(r)$. This implies that the join-irreducible compact congruence relations are just those which are determined by the $\mathfrak{A}(r)$, further, K is locally finite, thus every compact congruence relation is a finite join of join-irreducible ones. It follows that $\Theta(K)$ is isomorphic to 2^P .

Several characterizations of lattices which occur in Theorem 2 are given in Theorem 3. Now we prove the equivalence of conditions (i)—(v) of Theorem 3.

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is a special case of a theorem of BÜCHI [3]. The equivalence of (iv) and (v) follows from a theorem of NACHBIN [10]. Hence it is enough to prove the implications (i) \rightarrow (ii) \rightarrow (iv) \rightarrow (i).

It is easy to verify that 2^P is isomorphic to S(P), where S(P) denotes the set of all s-ideals of P. An s-ideal H of P is a subset such that $x \in H$ and $y \le x$ imply $y \in H$. S(P) is a complete lattice in which the complete join and meet coincide with the set-theoretical join and meet.

Now if $L \cong 2^P$, then $L \cong S(P)$, the latter being a complete sublattice of the complete atomic Boolean algebra of all subsets of P; thus (i) \rightarrow (ii) is proved.

If L is a complete sublattice of an atomic complete Boolean algebra, then L is complete and for any $p \in B$, p is of finite height, thus we may take the least element A(p) of L which is $\geq p$. It is routine to check that an element of L is compact if and only if it is of the form A(p). Further, A(p) is join-irreducible if and only if p is an atom, and if $p = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} p_i$, where the p_i -s are atoms, then $A(p) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} A(p_i)$; thus

Finally, if L satisfies (iv), then let P denote the partially ordered set of join-irreducible compact elements of L. The proof of $L \cong S(P)$ is straight forward. Then, on using the note we made at the beginning of the proof, we see $L \cong 2^P$, finishing the proof of (iv) \rightarrow (i) and of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4 is nothing else but an application of Theorem 3. If $a, b \in K$, we denote by Θ_{ab} the least congruence relation under which $a \equiv b$. A congruence relation

 Θ is compact if and only if it may be written in the form $\Theta = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \Theta_{a_i b_i}$.

 $\Theta(K)$ is \vee -distributive [1], and every element is the meet of completely meetirreducible elements [2], further $\Theta(K)$ is compactly generated.

 Θ_{ab} $(a \le b)$ is join-irreducible if and only if the interval [a, b] is irreducible (the irreducibility of an interval being defined before Theorem 4).

Thus for a $\Theta(K)$ condition (1) of Theorem 4 is the same as (iv) of Theorem 3; (2) of Theorem 4 is identical with the *dual* of (iii) of Theorem 3; (3) is the same as (i) in Theorem 3; and (4) is equivalent to (ii) of Theorem 3. But condition (ii) of Theorem 3 is self-dual, hence not only the conditions of Theorem 3 are equivalent, but they are also equivalent to their duals. We infer that the conditions of Theorem 4 are equivalent.

 2^P is a Boolean algebra if and only if P is unordered. Thus $\Theta(L)$ is a Boolean algebra if Θ and Φ are compact join-irreducible congruences, then neither $\Theta > \Phi$ nor $\Theta < \Phi$ does hold. But a join-irreducible $\Theta = \Theta_{ab}$ has this property if and only if it is minimal in the sense defined before Theorem 4. Thus Corollary 1 is proved.

If K is distributive, a < b, a, $b \in L$, then $\Theta_{ab} = \Theta_{ac} \cup \Theta_{bc}$ with every a < c < b and Θ_{ac} , $\Theta_{bc} < \Theta_{ab}$. It follows that the following three conditions are equivalent: 1. Θ_{ab} is irreducible; 2. Θ_{ab} is minimal; 3. [a, b] is a prime interval, i. e. no c exists with a < c < b. Now Corollary 2 is trivial.

Corollary 3 does not call for proof.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BUDAPEST

(ii) \rightarrow (iv) is completely proved.

(Received January 16, 1961)

Bibliography

- G. BIRKHOFF, Lattice theory (New York, 1948).
 G. BIRKHOFF and O. FRINK, Representations of lattice by sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 64 (1948), pp. 299-316.
- [3] I. R. Büchi, Representation of complete lattices by sets, *Porth. Math.*, 11 (1952), pp. 151-163.
- [4] P. Crawley, Lattices whose congruences form a Boolean algebra, Pacific J. of Math., 10
- (1960), pp. 787-798.
 [5] G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, Two notes on lattice congruences, Annales Univ. Sci.

 Budapest, Sectio Math., 1 (1958), pp. 83-87.
 [6] G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, Ideals and congruence relations in lattices, Acta Math. Acad.
- Sci. Hung., 9 (1958), pp. 137-175.
 [7] G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, Standard ideals, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 12 (1961), pp. 17 - 86.
- [8] J. HASHIMOTO, Ideal theory for lattices, Math. Japonicae, 2 (1952), pp. 149-186.
- [9] J. Hashimoto, Direct, subdirect decompositions and congruence relations, *Osaka Math-Journal*, **9** (1957), pp. 87–117.
- [10] L. Nachbin, On a characterization of the lattice of all ideals of a Boolean ring, Fund. Math., 36 (1949), pp. 137-142.
- [11] O. Ore, Theory of equivalence relations, Duke Math. Journal, 9 (1942), pp. 573-627.