

MATHEMATICS

ON A PROBLEM OF L. FUCHS CONCERNING UNIVERSAL
 SUBGROUPS AND UNIVERSAL HOMOMORPHIC IMAGES
 OF ABELIAN GROUPS

BY

G. GRÄTZER AND E. T. SCHMIDT (Budapest)

(Communicated by Prof. J. F. KOKSMA at the meeting of January 28, 1961)

In this note our aim is to prove the following

Theorem. A necessary and sufficient condition for an abelian group G to contain a universal subgroup ¹⁾ Z such that G/Z is a universal homomorphic image ²⁾ is

- (a) for p -groups: $r(p^i G)$ is 0 or infinite, for every integer i ;
- (b) for torsion groups: every p -component of G fulfils (a);
- (c) for groups with torsion free rank $r(>0)$: r is infinite.

This theorem solves completely a problem of L. FUCHS ([1], p. 352, Problem 85).

For convenience sake we call a universal subgroup Z *perfect* if G/Z is a universal homomorphic image.

Remark 1. Condition (a) means the following: G is either bounded and $p^{k-1}G$ is infinite, where k is the smallest integer with $p^k G = 0$, or G is unbounded and the final rank of G ($\text{fin } r(G) = \min_i r(p^i G)$) is infinite.

Remark 2. Comparing our theorem with a theorem of L. FUCHS ³⁾ we find that the existence of universal subgroups implies in almost all cases the existence of a perfect universal subgroup Z . The exceptions are: (a₁) G is a bounded p -group such that $p^{k-1}G$ is finite but not 0; (b₁) G is a torsion group every p -component of which fulfils (a) or (a₁) and at least one p -component fulfils (a₁); (c₁) the torsion free rank of G is a natural integer r and $G = T + \sum_r C(\infty)$, where T is a torsion group satisfying (b) or (b₁).

For the notions and notations we refer to [1].

We need the trivial

Lemma. If Z_1 is a universal subgroup of G and U_1 a universal homomorphic image and if we have for a subgroup Z of G

¹⁾ A subgroup Z of G is a universal subgroup if every subgroup of G is isomorphic to a homomorphic image of Z (see [1], p. 341, or [2]).

²⁾ A homomorphic image U of G is called universal if every homomorphic image of G is isomorphic to some subgroup of U (see [1] p. 336, or [2]).

³⁾ See [1], p. 343, or [2].

- 1°. Z_1 is a homomorphic image of Z ;
 2°. U_1 is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/Z ,
 then Z is a universal subgroup and G/Z is a universal homomorphic image of G .

Proof of the Theorem.

Case (a). Necessity. From the theorem of Fuchs, mentioned in remark 2, we know that $\text{fin } r(G) = 0$ or infinite. Hence we need only consider the case that $r(p^k G) = 0$, $0 \neq r(p^{k-1} G) < \infty$. Then $Z \subseteq G$, $Z \sim G$ imply $r(p^{k-1} Z) = r(p^{k-1} G)$, thus $p^{k-1} Z = p^{k-1} G$ and it is impossible that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/Z .

Sufficiency. First, let G be a bounded p -group with the minimal bound p^k . Then $G = G_1 + \dots + G_k$, where $G_i = \sum C(p^i)$ and $r(G_k) = r(p^{k-1} G)$; thus the condition implies that G_k is infinite. We put $G_i = G_i' + G_i''$, where $G_i'' = 0$ or $G_i'' \cong G_i'$ according as G_i is finite or not. Then $Z = \sum_{i=1}^k G_i'$ is a perfect universal subgroup, for we may choose in the Lemma $G \cong Z_1 \cong U_1$, as $Z \sim G$ (in fact, $Z \cong G$); that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/Z is trivial.

Secondly, if G is unbounded, $\text{fin } r(G) \geq \aleph_0$ follows from the condition. Then we may decompose ⁴⁾ $G = G_1 + G_2$, where G_1 is a bounded group satisfying (a) and $\text{fin } r(G) = r(G_2) = m$. It follows that G_2 contains a subgroup F isomorphic to the free p -group ⁵⁾ $F_p(m)$; let B be a lower basic subgroup ⁶⁾ of F . We define $Z = Z' + B$, where Z' is a perfect universal subgroup of G_1 .

The case (b) is trivial.

Case (c). Necessity. If the torsion free rank $r(>0)$ is finite, then $Z \sim G$, $G \subseteq Z$ imply $r = r_0(G) = r_0(Z)$ and thus $r_0(G/Z) = 0$, contradicting the fact that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/Z .

Sufficiency. Let r be infinite. We may decompose ⁷⁾ $G = G_1 + G_2$ such that G_1 is a torsion group with bounded p -components satisfying (b), thus having a perfect universal subgroup Z' and G_2 contains subgroups $H \cong F(r)$ and $H_i \cong F_{p_i}(m_i)$ where m_i denotes the final rank of the p_i -component of the maximal torsion subgroup of G_2 while

$$\{H, F_1, F_2, \dots\} \cong H + \sum_i F_i.$$

We define $Z = Z' + K + B$, where $K \subseteq H$, $H/K \cong \sum_r R + \sum_{m_i \leq r} \sum_{m_i} C(p_i^\infty)$ where the summation is for all i with $m_i < t_i = \max(r, m_i)$, further B is a lower

⁴⁾ This follows easily from Theorem 31.5 of [1].

⁵⁾ See [1], p. 39.

⁶⁾ See [1], p. 105 and Theorem 31.4.

⁷⁾ Lemma 87.2 of [1].

basic subgroup of $\sum_{m_i \geq \aleph_0} H_i$. We may choose ⁸⁾ $U_1 = G_1 + \sum_{\mathfrak{r}} R + \sum_{\mathfrak{i}} \sum_{t_i} C(p_i^\infty)$
 and $Z_1 = G_1 + F(\mathfrak{r}) + \sum_{m_i \geq \aleph_0} F_{p_i}(m_i)$.

Now $Z \cong Z_1$ and that U_1 is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/Z is clear from
 $\sum_{m_i \geq \aleph_0} H_i \cong \sum_{\mathfrak{i}} \sum_{m_i \geq \aleph_0} C(p_i^\infty)$. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

⁸⁾ See [1], p. 338 and p. 342.

LITERATURE

1. FUCHS, L., Abelian groups, Publishing House of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 1958.
2. ———, Über universale homomorphe Bilder und universale Untergruppen von Abelschen Gruppen, Publicationes Mathematicae (Debrecen), **5**, 185–196 (1957).