

# Calculus 1, 6th and 7th lecture

## Orders of magnitudes

**Definition:** Suppose that  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$  and  $b_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ . Then the order of magnitude of  $(a_n)$  is smaller than the order of magnitude of  $(b_n)$  if  $\frac{a_n}{b_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Notation:  $a_n \ll b_n$ .

**Theorem:**  $n^n \gg n! \gg a^n \gg n^k \gg n^{\frac{1}{k}} \gg \log n$ , where  $a > 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . That is,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{a) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^n}{n!} = \infty & \text{b) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{a^n} = \infty, \text{ where } a > 1 & \text{c) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n} = \infty, \text{ where } a > 1 \\ \text{d) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n^k} = \infty, \text{ where } a > 1 \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}^+ & \text{e) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log_2 n} = \infty \end{array}$$

**Some proofs. a)**  $\frac{n^n}{n!} = \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{n}{3} \cdots \frac{n}{n-2} \cdot \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{n}{n} \geq n \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdots 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \frac{n^n}{n!} \rightarrow \infty$

**b)** For example, if  $a = 2$ , then  $\frac{n!}{2^n} = \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-2}{2} \cdots \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{n}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdots 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{n}{4} \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \frac{n!}{2^n} \rightarrow \infty$

In general, if  $a > 1$ , then  $\frac{n!}{a^n} = \frac{n}{a} \cdot \frac{n-1}{a} \cdots \frac{[a]+1}{a} \cdot \frac{[a]}{a} \cdots \frac{1}{a} \geq \frac{n}{a} \cdot 1 \cdots 1 \cdot c = \frac{c}{a} \cdot n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \frac{n!}{a^n} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  
where  $c = \frac{[a]}{a} \cdots \frac{1}{a}$ .

**Remark: Binomial coefficients:**  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$  where  $k! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdots k$  and  $0! = 1$ .

Meaning: the number of subsets with  $k$  elements of a set with  $n$  elements.

**Binomial theorem:**  $(a+b)^n = (a+b)(a+b) \cdots (a+b) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$ .

**c)** We will prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n} = \infty$ , where  $a = 1 + \delta$  and  $\delta > 0$ . By the binomial theorem,

$$(1 + \delta)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \delta^k = \binom{n}{0} \delta^0 + \binom{n}{1} \delta^1 + \binom{n}{2} \delta^2 + \cdots + \binom{n}{n} \delta^n \geq \binom{n}{2} \delta^2, \text{ so}$$

$$\frac{(1 + \delta)^n}{n} \geq \frac{\binom{n}{2} \delta^2}{n} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2n} \delta^2 = \frac{n-1}{2} \delta^2 \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \frac{a^n}{n} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ where } a > 1.$$

**d)** We will prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{n^k} = \infty$ , where  $a > 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . This is a consequence of case c), since if  $a > 1$

then  $\sqrt[k]{a} > 1$  and  $\frac{a^n}{n^k} = \left( \frac{(\sqrt[k]{a})^n}{n} \right)^k$ .

**e)** Let  $a_n = \frac{n}{\log_2 n}$ . It can be shown that  $(a_n)$  is monotonic increasing (we can prove this later) and

$$a_{2^k} = \frac{2^k}{\log_2 2^k} = \frac{2^k}{k} \rightarrow \infty.$$

From these two properties it follows that  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Example:**  $\frac{n^2 - 3^n}{n! + n^4} = \frac{3^n}{n!} \cdot \frac{\frac{n^2}{3^2} - 1}{1 + \frac{n^4}{n!}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \cdot \frac{0 - 1}{1 + 0} = 0.$

**Theorem.**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^k a^n = 0$ , if  $|a| < 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

1st proof. It is a consequence of the following statements:

- a) If  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$  then  $\frac{1}{a_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .
- b) If  $a > 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  then  $\frac{a^n}{n^k} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ .
- c) If  $|a_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  then  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

2nd proof. It is a consequence of the following statements:

- (i)  $\sqrt[n]{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$
- (ii) If  $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = L < 1$  then  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Proof of (ii): If  $L \leq q < 1$  then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,  $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < q$ .

Then  $0 < |a_n| < q^n \rightarrow 0$  so by the Sandwich Theorem  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Using this, if  $|a| < 1$  then  $\sqrt[n]{|n^k a^n|} = \left(\sqrt[n]{n}\right)^k \cdot |a| \rightarrow 1^k \cdot |a| < 1 \Rightarrow n^k a^n \rightarrow 0$ .

## The Sandwich Theorem and two applications

**Theorem (Sandwich Theorem).** If  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} A \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} A \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  for all  $n > N$ , then  $b_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} A \in \mathbb{R}$

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then

there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > N_1$  then  $A - \varepsilon < a_n < A + \varepsilon$  and

there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > N_2$  then  $A - \varepsilon < c_n < A + \varepsilon$ .

So if  $n > \max\{N, N_1, N_2\}$  then

$$A - \varepsilon < a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n < A + \varepsilon \Rightarrow |b_n - A| < \varepsilon$$

**Theorem.**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$ .

**1st proof.** Apply the AM-GM inequality for  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-2} = 1$ ,  $a_{n-1} = a_n = \sqrt{n}$ .

Then

$$1 \leq \sqrt[n]{n} = \sqrt[n]{1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{(n-2) + 2\sqrt{n}}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 1 + 0 - 0 = 1,$$

so by the Sandwich Theorem,  $\sqrt[n]{n} \rightarrow 1$ .

**2nd proof.** Since  $\sqrt[n]{n} \geq 1$  then we can write  $\sqrt[n]{n} = 1 + \delta_n$ , where  $\delta_n \geq 0$ . Then by the binomial theorem,

$n$  can be estimated from below:

$$n = (1 + \delta_n)^n = 1 + n \delta_n + \binom{n}{2} \delta_n^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \delta_n^n \geq \binom{n}{2} \delta_n^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \delta_n^2$$

from where

$$0 \leq \delta_n \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ so by the Sandwich Theorem, } \delta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ and thus } \sqrt[n]{n} \rightarrow 1.$$

**Theorem.** If  $p > 0$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{p} = 1$ .

**1st proof.** Assume that  $p \geq 1$  and apply the AM-GM inequality for  $a_1 = \dots a_{n-2} = 1$ ,  $a_{n-1} = a_n = \sqrt{p}$ .

Then

$$1 \leq \sqrt[n]{p} = \sqrt[n]{1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot \sqrt{p} \cdot \sqrt{p}} \leq \frac{(n-2) + 2\sqrt{p}}{n} \leq 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{p}-2}{n} \rightarrow 1 + 0 = 1,$$

so by the Sandwich Theorem,  $\sqrt[n]{p} \rightarrow 1$ .

$$\text{If } 0 < p < 1, \text{ then } \frac{1}{p} > 1, \text{ so } \sqrt[n]{p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{p}}} \rightarrow 1.$$

**2nd proof.** If  $p \geq 1$  then  $\sqrt[n]{p} \geq 1$ , so we can write  $\sqrt[n]{p} = 1 + \delta_n$ , where  $\delta_n \geq 0$ . Then by the binomial theorem,  $n$  can be estimated from below:

$$p = (1 + \delta_n)^n = 1 + n \delta_n + \binom{n}{2} \delta_n^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \delta_n^n \geq n \delta_n,$$

from where  $0 \leq \delta_n \leq \frac{p}{n} \rightarrow 0$ , so by the Sandwich Theorem,  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  and thus  $\sqrt[n]{p} \rightarrow 1$ .

The case  $0 < p < 1$  is the same as before.

**3rd proof.** If  $p \geq 1$  then  $\sqrt[n]{p} \geq 1$ , so we can write  $\sqrt[n]{p} = 1 + \delta_n$ , where  $\delta_n \geq 0$ . We show that  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ . By the Bernoulli inequality

$$p = (1 + \delta_n)^n \geq 1 + n \delta_n \implies \frac{p-1}{n} \geq \delta_n > 0$$

Since  $\frac{p-1}{n} \rightarrow 0$  then by the Sandwich Theorem  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ , so  $\sqrt[n]{p} \rightarrow 1$ .

The case  $0 < p < 1$  is the same as before.

## Monotonic sequences

**Theorem.** If  $(a_n)$  is monotonically increasing and not bounded above, then  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P > 0$  be fixed. Since it is not an upper bound, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_N > P$ . By the monotonicity, if  $n > N$  then  $a_n \geq a_N > P$ .

**Consequence.** If  $(a_n)$  is monotonically decreasing and not bounded below, then  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} -\infty$ .

**Theorem. (1)** If  $(a_n)$  is monotonically increasing and bounded above, then  $(a_n)$  is convergent and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**(2)** If  $(a_n)$  is monotonically decreasing and bounded below, then  $(a_n)$  is convergent and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \inf \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**Proof of part (1).** Let  $A = \sup \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then  $a_n \leq A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Assume indirectly that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \neq A$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n > N$ ,

such that  $a_n \leq A - \varepsilon$ . By the monotonicity  $a_N \leq a_n$ , so  $a_N \leq A - \varepsilon$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, this is a contradiction, since  $A$  is the smallest upper bound of the sequence (so  $A - \varepsilon$  is not an upper bound).

Therefore for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > N$  then  $A - \varepsilon < a_n \leq A < A + \varepsilon$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = A$ .

## The sequence $a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$

**Theorem.** The sequence  $a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$  is monotonically increasing and bounded, so it is convergent.

**1st proof.** a) Monotonicity. We use the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means: if

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \geq 0 \text{ then } \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}{k}.$$

Let  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $a_{n+1} = 1$ . Then

$$\sqrt[n+1]{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \cdot 1} \leq \frac{n\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + 1}{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{n+1},$$

$$\text{so } a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} = a_{n+1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

b) Boundedness. We use the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means for the numbers

$a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$\sqrt[n+2]{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \cdot \frac{1}{4}} \leq \frac{n\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{n+2} = 1,$$

$$\text{so } a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \leq 4 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**2nd proof with the binomial theorem (homework).**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) Boundedness. } a_n &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k = 1 + 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{n(n-1) \dots (n-(k-1))}{k!} \cdot \frac{1}{n^k} = \\ &= 1 + 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \frac{n}{n} \cdot \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{n-(k-1)}{n} < 1 + 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k!} \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} := s_n. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence  $(s_n)$  is bounded above since the terms can be estimated from above by the terms of a geometric sequence with ratio  $\frac{1}{2}$ :

$$s_n = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n} <$$

$$< 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) = 1 + \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = 3 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} < 3.$$

$$\text{So } a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n < s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} < 3.$$

b) Monotonicity.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^k = 2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \frac{n+1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{n+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(n+1)-(k-1)}{n+1} = \\ &= 2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n+1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n+1}\right) = \\ &= 2 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n+1}\right) + \frac{1}{(n+1)!} > \\ &> 2 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right) + 0 = a_n \end{aligned}$$

So  $a_n < a_{n+1}$ .

**Definition:** The sequence  $\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$  is convergent so denote its limit by  $e$ :

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n.$$

**Remark:** From the 2nd proof it follows that  $2 < e < 3$ .

### Theorems:

1)  $e$  is irrational.

$$2) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} = e$$

$$3) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n = e^x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$4) \text{ If } x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_n}\right)^{x_n} = e.$$

## Examples for monotonic and bounded sequences

**Example 1.** Let  $0 < a < 1$  and  $b_n = a^n$ , then  $0 < b_{n+1} = a^{n+1} < a^n = b_n < 1$ . Since  $(b_n)$  is bounded and monotonically decreasing then it is convergent, let  $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$ . Then

$$A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a \cdot b_n = a \cdot A \iff A(1 - a) = 0, \text{ so } A = 0.$$

**Example 2.** Let  $a_1 = 4$  and  $a_{n+1} = 8 - \frac{15}{a_n}$ .

If  $(a_n)$  is convergent then  $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1} = 8 - \frac{15}{A}$ , so  $A^2 - 8A + 15 = (A - 3)(A - 5) = 0$ , therefore

$A = 3$  or  $A = 5$ .

If we prove that  $(a_n)$  is bounded and monotonically increasing or decreasing, then  $(a_n)$  is convergent and its limit is the supremum or the infimum of the sequence.

(i) First we prove boundedness by induction.

I. The statement is true for  $n = 1$ :  $3 < a_1 = 4 < 5$ .

II. Assume that  $3 < a_n < 5$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 3 < a_n < 5 &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{a_n} < \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow 3 < \frac{15}{a_n} < 5 \Rightarrow -3 > -\frac{15}{a_n} > -5 \\ &\Rightarrow 3 < 8 - \frac{15}{a_n} = a_{n+1} < 5. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Next we prove monotonicity, also by induction.

$$\text{I. } a_2 = \frac{17}{4} > a_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II. } a_n < a_{n+1} &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{a_n} > \frac{1}{a_{n+1}} \quad (\text{since } a_n > 0) \Rightarrow -\frac{15}{a_n} < -\frac{15}{a_{n+1}} \\ &\Rightarrow a_{n+1} = 8 - \frac{15}{a_n} < 8 - \frac{15}{a_{n+1}} = a_{n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(a_n)$  is monotonic increasing and bounded then  $a_n$  is convergent. The limit of  $(a_n)$  cannot be  $A = 3$ , since  $a_1 = 4$  and the sequence is monotonic increasing. Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 5$ .

## Subsequences

**Definition.** Suppose  $(n_k) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a strictly monotonically increasing sequence of natural numbers. Then we call the sequence  $(a_{n_k})$  a **subsequence** of  $(a_n)$ .

**Examples:** 1) The prime numbers are a subsequence of the positive integers.

$$2) b_n = \frac{1}{1+n^2} \text{ is a subsequence of } a_n = \frac{1}{1+n} \quad (b_n = a_{n^2}).$$

**Remark.** A subsequence can be obtained from a given sequence by deleting some or no elements without changing the order of the remaining elements.

**Remark.** If  $(n_k)$  is a strictly monotonically increasing sequence of natural numbers, then  $n_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \infty$  since  $n_k \geq n_1 + k - 1$ .

**Theorem.**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = A$  if and only for all  $(a_{n_k})$  subsequences  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_k} = A$ .

**Proof. 1)** If all subsequences tend to the same limit  $A$ , then the subsequence  $(a_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} A$  so for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > N$  then  $|a_{n+1} - A| < \varepsilon$ , so  $|a_n - A| < \varepsilon$  if  $n > N + 1$ , so  $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} A$ .

**2)** If  $(a_n)$  is convergent and  $(a_{n_k})$  is a subsequence, then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that if  $n > N$ , then  $|a_n - A| < \varepsilon$ , and since  $n_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ , thus there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $k > K$ , then  $n_k > N$ , so  $|a_{n_k} - A| < \varepsilon$ , therefore  $a_{n_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} A$ .