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## Background

- In Hungary, public road are maintained by the Hungarian Public Road Nonprot Private Limited Company
- They use special surveillance cars to regularly check the road network.
- Each road must be checked in a law regulated frequency, depending its type, traffic etc.
- This is currently organized by hand (using a GIS system + spreadsheets)
- They want something better, thus initiated a pilot project to
- evaluate the current situation and the effect of some changes in the organization
- automatize the planning process at a later state


## Goals

## Task

The pilot project deals with a specific county (Bács-Kiskun) of Hungary.

- 6 cars with fixed centers/garages (two of them are co-located)
- Each road is (currently) assigned to one of the centers.
- different speed when surveying or just traveling (given per road)
- daily full travel time is limited: max 9 hours
- visiting frequency depends on road type: $1,2,7,14,28$ days
- We need a periodic plan for 28 days
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## Questions: Optimize the daily routes

1. while keeping all the centers and the current road assignment ("borders')
2. with optimized road $\rightarrow$ center assignment

- the assignment may be static or dynamic

3. with using less cars

## Overview

## Background

Input data

## Math Formulation

## Heuristic Solutions

MIP Formulation
Cutting Plane Approach

## Results

## Input data

- 8 files (.dbf, .shp, .shx, .txt formats)
- 1564 nodes, 3241 road segments
- road length, speed limits, one-way/bidirectional, visiting frequency
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## Math Formulation

## Given

freq : $E \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The maximum (or exact) number of days between two consecutive checks of the road segment.
time $_{\text {trv }}: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and time $_{\text {srv }}: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The traveling and surveying time of the road segments
length $: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The physical length of the road segments.
bidir : $E \longrightarrow\{0,1\}$. One-way/bidirectional indicator.
centers : $E \longrightarrow 2^{\mathcal{C}}$. The set of vehicles (i.e. centers) which are allowed to survey the road segment.
$\mathcal{T}:=0, \ldots, T-1$. The planning time frame.
W. Daily max working time.

## Math Formulation

## Find

$p_{c, d}$ (closed) paths and a set $S_{c, d} \subset p_{c, d}$ of surveyed roads ( $\forall c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\forall d \in \mathcal{T}$ ) so that

- $p_{c, d}$ starts from and ends at $c$,
- $S_{c, d} \subset\{e: c \in \operatorname{centers}(e)\}$, i.e. each road is surveyed by one of its allowed vehicles,
- $\sum_{e \in S_{c, d}}$ time $_{s r v}(e)+\sum_{e \in p_{c, d} \backslash S_{c, d}}$ time $_{\text {trv }}(e) \leq W$, i.e. the total travel time of $p_{c, d}$ is at most $W$,
- $e \in \bigcup\left\{S_{c, k}: c \in \mathcal{C}, k \in\left\{d,(d+1)_{\bmod T}, \ldots,(d+f r e q(e)-1)_{\bmod T}\right\}\right.$ holds for each $e \in E$, freq $(e)>0$, and $d \in[0, \ldots, T-1]$.


## Objective function

we seek to minimize the total length of all paths $p_{c, d}$, i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in[0, \ldots, R-1]} \text { length }\left(p_{c, d}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## An example single day route plan



## Exact or min. visiting frequency (day patterns)



## Remark

According to our tests, it doesn't have much effect on the found solution.
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## Algorithm

1. Start with empty routes $p_{c d}$ for each center $c$ and day $d$
2. Choose and arbitrary unchecked road $e$,
3. Calculate the cost of its optimal insertion into each route routes $p_{c d}$.
4. Choose the best center and survey day pattern
5. GOTO Step 2 if there are unchecked roads.
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## Solving Step 4

- It is done by solving a tiny set covering problem, or
- one may require that the visiting frequencies are strictly kept.
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- one may require that the visiting frequencies are strictly kept.


## Remarks

- It is blazing fast (solves the full problem within 1 sec )
- Results are surprisingly good.
- Working day limits can be enforced by penalty functions.


## Improved Heuristics

## Reinsertion Algorithm

1. Create an initial solution
2. Try to remove and optimally reinsert each road until improvement is possible

## Simulated Annealing [sketch]

1. Create an initial solution
2. Repeat

- Choose a random road, and reinsert it optimally to a randomly chosen center and day offset.
- Accept the change with probability $P($ accept $):=\max \left\{1, e^{\frac{\text { cost }_{\text {prev }}-\operatorname{cost}_{n e w}}{T}}\right\}$
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## MIP Formulation

$$
\min \sum_{c d e} \text { lenght }(e) s_{c d e}+\sum_{c d e} \text { lenght }(e) t_{c d e}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
s_{c d e} \in\{0,1\} & \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, e \in E_{c} \\
t_{c d e} \in \mathbb{N} & \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, e \in E_{c} \\
\sum_{k \in\left\{d, \ldots,(d+f r e q(e)-1)_{\bmod T}\right\}} & \forall 1 \\
\sum_{e \in \rho_{E_{c}}(v)} s_{c d e}+\sum_{e \in \rho_{E}(v)} t_{c d e}=\sum_{e \in \delta_{E_{c}}(v)} s_{c d e}+\sum_{e \in \delta_{E}(v)} t_{c d e} & \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, e \in E_{c} \\
\sum_{e \in E_{c}} t i m e_{s r v}(e) s_{c d e}+\sum_{e \in E} t i m e_{t r v}(e) t_{c d e} \leq W & \forall \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, v \in V \\
f_{c c d e} \geq 0 & \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, e \in E_{c} \\
f_{c d e} \leq M\left(s_{c d e}+t_{c d e}\right) & \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, e \in E_{c} \\
\sum_{e \in \rho_{E}(v)} f_{c d e}-\sum_{e \in \delta_{E}(v)} f_{c d e}=\sum_{e \in \rho_{E_{c}}(v)} s_{c d e} & \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, v \in V-c
\end{array}
$$

## Solution I

- Too big to be solved directly by a MIP solver
- Iterative rounding may help

1. Solve the LP relaxation
2. Choose a variable close to 1 (or 0 )
3. Round it, then GOTO 1.

- This works but still very slow and usually doesn't give better results than the heuristics.


## Solution II. Cutting Plane Approach

Alternative connectivity constraints

## Exact version

$$
\sum_{e \in \delta_{E_{c}}(U)} s_{c d e}+\sum_{e \in \delta_{E}(U)} t_{c d e} \geq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{(u v) \in E_{C} ; u, v \in U} s_{c d}(u v) \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{T}, U \subset V-c
$$

## Restricted version

Added only if unconnected integer solution is found

$$
\sum_{e \in \delta_{E_{c}}(U)} s_{c d e}+\sum_{e \in \delta_{E}(U)} t_{c d e} \geq 1
$$

Where $U$ is the vertex set of a disconnected cycle

- The subroutine finding a violated constraint is passed to the MIP solver as a callback function.


## Solution II. Cutting Plane Approach

- It is still unable to solve the whole problem efficiently
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## Solution II. Cutting Plane Approach

- It is still unable to solve the whole problem efficiently

However

- Assume that surveying center and the day pattern are fixed for each road.
- Then, we can find an optimal solution to this sub-problem in a reasonable time.
- So, it can be used to further improve the results calculated by the heuristics.
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## Results

## Results I: Insertion versus Simulated annealing

| Center | Survey km/day | Travel km/day |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Insertion | Simann | Improvement |
| C0 | 87.8 | 82.4 | 83.3 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| C1 | 75.8 | 64.5 | 62.6 | $2.9 \%$ |
| C2 | 67.7 | 63.8 | 56.8 | $11.0 \%$ |
| C3 | 58.7 | 83.2 | 77.6 | $6.7 \%$ |
| C4 | 54.3 | 48.0 | 41.3 | $14.0 \%$ |
| C5 | 51.4 | 72.1 | 62.6 | $13.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 2 \%}$ |

## Results II: Insertion method and MIP-impr.

| Center | Survey km/day | Travel km/day |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Insertion | +MIP-impr. | Improvement |
| C0 | 87.8 | 82.4 | 79.3 | $3.8 \%$ |
| C1 | 75.8 | 64.5 | 62.2 | $3.6 \%$ |
| C2 | 67.7 | 63.8 | 62.0 | $2.8 \%$ |
| C3 | 58.7 | 83.2 | 80.6 | $3.1 \%$ |
| C4 | 54.3 | 48.0 | 43.7 | $9.0 \%$ |
| C5 | 51.4 | 72.1 | 70.1 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 \%}$ |

## Results III: Simulated Annealing and MIP-impr.

| Center | Survey km/day | Travel km/day |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Simann | +MIP-impr. | Improvement |
| C0 | 87.8 | 83.3 | 82.1 | $1.4 \%$ |
| C1 | 75.8 | 62.6 | 60.4 | $3.5 \%$ |
| C2 | 67.7 | 56.8 | 55.3 | $2.6 \%$ |
| C3 | 58.7 | 77.6 | 76.4 | $1.5 \%$ |
| C4 | 54.3 | 41.3 | 39.3 | $4.8 \%$ |
| C5 | 51.4 | 62.6 | 61.8 | $\mathbf{1 . 3} \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 \%}$ |

Results IV: Insertion vs. SimAnn with MIP-impr.

| Center | Survey km/day | Travel km/day |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Insertion <br> +MIP-impr. | Simann <br> +MIP-impr. | Improvement |
| C0 | 87.8 | 79.3 | 82.1 | $-3.5 \%$ |
| C1 | 75.8 | 62.2 | 60.4 | $2.9 \%$ |
| C2 | 67.7 | 62.0 | 55.3 | $10.8 \%$ |
| C3 | 58.7 | 80.6 | 76.4 | $5.2 \%$ |
| C4 | 54.3 | 43.7 | 39.3 | $10.0 \%$ |
| C5 | 51.4 | 70.1 | 61.8 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7 \%}$ |

## Thank you for the attention!

