

BÁLINT TÓTH
(U of Bristol and TU Budapest)

**Relaxed Sector Condition and
Random Walk in Divergence Free Random Drift Field**

based on joint work with
(older) **Illés Horváth, Bálint Vető**
(recent) **Gady Kozma**

Oberwolfach, 28 Oct – 1 Nov 2013

Notation:

$$(\Omega, \pi, \tau_z : z \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

probability space
with ergodic \mathbb{Z}^d -action

$$\mathcal{E} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |k| = 1\}$$

possible steps of the rw

$$v_k : \Omega \rightarrow [-1, 1], \quad k \in \mathcal{E}$$

- $v_k(\omega) + v_{-k}(\tau_k \omega) \equiv 0$

vector field

- $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} v_k(\omega) \equiv 0$

divergence-free

- $\int_{\Omega} v_k(\omega) d\pi(\omega) = 0,$

no overall drift

Lift it to a stationary and divergence free vector field over \mathbb{Z}^d :

$$V_k(\omega, x) := v_k(\tau_x \omega)$$

$$V_{-k}(x+k) + V_k(x) \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}_d} V_k(x) \equiv 0, \quad \mathbf{E}(V_k(x)) = 0.$$

The random walk:

$$\mathbf{P}_\omega(X(t+dt) = x+k \mid X(t) = x) = (1 + V_k(\omega, x)) dt + \mathcal{O}((dt)^2).$$

The diffusion analogue: $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ stationary, divergence-free vector field,

$$dX(t) = dB(t) + V(X(t))dt,$$

Question: Diffusive asymptotics of $X(T)/\sqrt{T}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$?

Drift field and its covariances:

$$\varphi(\omega) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}_d} kv_k(\omega), \quad \Phi(\omega, x) := \varphi(\tau_x \omega)$$

$$C_{i,j}(x) := \mathbf{E}\left(\Phi_i(x)\Phi_j(0)\right), \quad \widehat{C}_{i,j}(p) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{\sqrt{-1}p \cdot x} C_{i,j}(x)$$

H_{-1} -condition – assumed:

$$(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d \widehat{C}_{i,i}(p)}{\sum_{i=1}^d (1 - \cos(p \cdot e_i))} dp < \infty$$

Equivalently:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \mathbf{E}\left(\left(\int_0^T \Phi(S(t)) dt \right)^2 \right) < \infty,$$

The environment process: $\eta_n := \tau_{X_n} \omega$. Stationary and ergodic pure jump Markov process on (Ω, π) . (Stationarity due to div-freeness.)

Martingale decomposition of the walk: $X(t) = Y(t) + Z(t)$,

$$Y(t) := X(t) - \int_0^t \varphi(\eta(s)) ds, \quad Z(t) := \int_0^t \varphi(\eta(s)) ds,$$

$Y(\cdot)$ and $X(\cdot)$ are uncorrelated (easy), and

$$\mathbf{E}\left(X_i(t) X_j(t) \right) = \delta_{i,j} (2d)t + \mathbf{E}\left(Z_i(t) Z_j(t) \right).$$

Diffusive bounds:

$$2d \leq \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \mathbf{E}\left(|X(T)|^2 \right) \leq 2d + \sum_{i=1}^d \tilde{C}_{i,i} < \infty$$

Upper bound from H_{-1} .

Theorem. *If the H_{-1} -condition holds then the asymptotic covariance matrix*

$$(\sigma^2)_{i,j} := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left(X_i(T) X_j(T) \right)$$

exists, and is bounded as follows

$$2dI_d \leq \sigma^2 \leq 2dI_d + \tilde{C}.$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^{md} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and bounded

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \mathbf{E}_{\omega} \left(f(\dots, T^{-1/2} X(Tt_j), \dots) \right) - \mathbf{E} \left(f(\dots, W(t_j), \dots) \right) \right| d\pi(\omega) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where $t \mapsto W(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Brownian motion with

$$\mathbf{E} \left(W_i(t) \right) = 0, \quad \mathbf{E} \left(W_i(s) W_j(t) \right) = \min\{s, t\} (\sigma^2)_{i,j}$$

Historical comments:

[Kozlov (1985)] claims similar result for $V(x)$ *finitely dependent*.

Historical comments:

[Kozlov (1985)] claims similar result for $V(x)$ *finitely dependent*.

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)]: proof of [Kozlov (1985)] incomplete.
(From MR: "... The paper fills a gap existing in [Kozlov (1985)] ...")

Historical comments:

[Kozlov (1985)] claims similar result for $V(x)$ *finitely dependent*.

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)]: proof of [Kozlov (1985)] incomplete.
(From MR: "... The paper fills a gap existing in [Kozlov (1985)] ...")

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)] claims essentially the same result.

Historical comments:

[Kozlov (1985)] claims similar result for $V(x)$ *finitely dependent*.

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)]: proof of [Kozlov (1985)] incomplete. (From MR: "... The paper fills a gap existing in [Kozlov (1985)] ...")

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)] claims essentially the same result.

[Komorowski, Landim, Olla (2012)]: proof of [Komorowski, Olla (2003)] incomplete. (p 134: "... The result formulated there claims a CLT The proof is however incomplete. ...")

Historical comments:

[Kozlov (1985)] claims similar result for $V(x)$ *finitely dependent*.

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)]: proof of [Kozlov (1985)] incomplete. (From MR: "... The paper fills a gap existing in [Kozlov (1985)] ...")

[Komorowski, Olla (2003)] claims essentially the same result.

[Komorowski, Landim, Olla (2012)]: proof of [Komorowski, Olla (2003)] incomplete. (p 134: "... The result formulated there claims a CLT The proof is however incomplete. ...")

[Komorowski, Landim, Olla (2012)]: Same result – now fully proved – with restrictive conditions: H_{-1} (\mathcal{L}^2 -condition on *stream-field*) replaced by $\mathcal{L}^{\max\{2+\varepsilon, d\}}$. More later Proof very technical.

\mathcal{L}^∞ stream-field: [Komorowski, Olla (2003)]

\mathcal{L}^∞ stream-field: [Komorowski, Olla (2003)]

The continuous space diffusion problem: \mathcal{L}^∞ stream-field: [Papanicolaou, Varadhan (1981)], [Osada (1983)]; \mathcal{L}^2 stream-field: [Oelschläger (1988)] – very technical proof.

Helmholtz's Theorem, stream field: $\mathbb{Z}_*^d := \mathbb{Z}^d + (1/2, \dots, 1/2)$

$d = 2$:

There exists a scalar field (*height function*): $H : \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}_*^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with stationary increments such that

$$V = \text{curl } H, \quad V_k(x) = H\left(x + \frac{k + \tilde{k}}{2}\right) - H\left(x + \frac{k - \tilde{k}}{2}\right)$$

$d = 3$:

There exists a vector field (*stream field*) $H_k : \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}_*^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathcal{E}$, with stationary increments such that

$$V = \text{curl } H, \quad V_k(\omega, x) = \dots \text{explain in plain words}$$

The H_{-1} condition equiv.: The height function/stream field is **stationary** (not just of stationary increments!) and \mathcal{L}^2 .

Examples and essentially different cases:

- H stationary + ergodic + bounded [S.M. Kozlov (1985)]:

$$h \in \mathcal{L}^\infty, \quad H(\omega, x) = h(\tau_x \omega) - h(\omega)$$

- H stationary + ergodic + unbounded + $\text{curl } H$ bounded:

$$h \in \mathcal{L}^2 \setminus \mathcal{L}^\infty, \quad H(\omega, x) = h(\tau_x \omega) - h(\omega)$$

this is the case discussed today. H_{-1} -condition ✓

- H {stationary + ergodic} increments (but not stationary).
+ $\text{curl } H$ bounded. $\text{No } H_{-1}$ -condition, superdiffusive.
- randomly oriented Manhattan-lattice
- six-vertex / square ice ($d = 2$)
- dimer tiling ($d = 2$)

Some **operators** on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \pi)$:

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \pi)\text{-gradient : } \quad \nabla_k f(\omega) := f(\tau_k \omega) - f(\omega)$$

$$\nabla_k^* = \nabla_{-k}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \pi)\text{-Laplacian : } \quad \Delta f(\omega) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} (f(\tau_k \omega) - f(\omega))$$

$$\Delta^* = \Delta \leq 0$$

$$\text{multiplication ops. : } \quad M_k f(\omega) := v_k(\omega) f(\omega)$$

$$M_k^* = M_k$$

A commutation relation – due to div-freeness of v :

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \nabla_k + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \nabla_{-k} M_k = 0$$

The **infinitesimal generator** of the environment process:

$$L = P - I = \frac{1}{2} \Delta + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \nabla_k =: -S + A$$

Relaxed Sector Condition [I. Horváth, B. Tóth, B. Vető (2012)]

Theorem: Efficient martingale approximation (a la Kipnis-Varadhan) holds for $\int_0^t \varphi(\eta_s) ds$ if

- (1) " $S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}$ " is skew self-adjoint
(not just skew symmetric).
- (2) $\varphi \in \text{Ran}(S^{-1/2})$ H_{-1} -condition

Remarks:

- (1) Extends Varadhan et al.'s *Graded Sector Condition*.
- (2) Proof: partly reminiscent of Trotter-Kurtz.

Two possible definitions of " $S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}$ ":

$$B := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \left((-\Delta)^{-1/2} \nabla_{-k} \right) M_k (-\Delta)^{-1/2} = " S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2} "$$

on $\mathcal{C} := \text{Dom}(-\Delta)^{-1/2} = \text{Ran}(-\Delta)^{1/2}$

$$\tilde{B} := (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \left((-\Delta)^{-1/2} \nabla_k \right) = " S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2} "$$

on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} := \{f \in \mathcal{L}^2 : \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \left((-\Delta)^{-1/2} \nabla_k \right) f \in \text{Dom}(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\}$

Facts (easy): (1) B is skew symmetric on \mathcal{C} .

(2) $\mathcal{C} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tilde{B}|_{\mathcal{C}} = B$.

(3) $\tilde{B} = \overline{\tilde{B}}$ and $\tilde{B} = -B^*$.

Wanted: $\overline{B} = \tilde{B}$, or, equivalently $\overline{B} = -B^*$

What is missing from skew self-adjointness of " $S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}$ "?

von Neumann's criterion:

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} B \text{ skew symmetric, and} \\ \overline{\text{Ran}(B \pm I)} = \mathcal{H} \end{array} \right) \Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} B \text{ essentially} \\ \text{skew self-adjoint} \end{array} \right)$$

Needed:

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} M_k \left((-\Delta)^{-1/2} \nabla_k \right) \psi = (-\Delta)^{1/2} \psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi = 0.$$

Warning: Formal manipulation deceives: $\psi \notin \text{Dom}(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$!

Raise it to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d : change of notation: from now on:
 ∇, Δ, \dots = lattice gradient, lattice Laplacian, ...

Wanted:

NO nontrivial scalar field $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with stationary increment, and $\mathbf{E}(\psi) = 0$ solves the PDE

$$\Delta \psi = V \cdot \nabla \psi. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{" } \psi = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \psi \text{ ",} \quad \nabla \psi(\omega, x) = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \nabla \psi(\tau_x \omega)$$

Note similarity: No sublinearly growing harmonic function on \mathbb{Z}^d .

Let ψ be solution of (1). Then $t \mapsto R(t) := \psi(X(t))$ is a martingale with stationary and ergodic increments.

$$\varrho^2 := t^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left(R(t)^2 \right) = \dots = 2 \|\psi\|^2.$$

and

$$t^{-1/2} R(t) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \varrho^2)$$

We prove $\varrho = 0$, and hence $\psi = 0$.

Let $\delta > 0$ and $K < \infty$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}\left(|R(t)| > \delta\sqrt{t}\right) \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\{|R(t)| > \delta\sqrt{t}\} \wedge \{|X(t)| \leq K\sqrt{t}\}\right) + \mathbf{P}\left(\{|X(t)| > K\sqrt{t}\}\right).$$

By diffusive upper bound (due to H_{-1}):

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\{|X(t)| > K\sqrt{t}\}\right) = 0.$$

Remains to prove:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\{|R(t)| > \delta\sqrt{t}\} \wedge \{|X(t)| \leq K\sqrt{t}\}\right) = 0.$$

$d = 2$ (with bare hands):

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \max_{x \in [-N, N]^2} |\Psi(x)| = 0 \quad \text{in probab.}$$

Maximum principle: $\max_{x \in \Lambda} |\Psi(x)| = \max_{x \in \partial \Lambda} |\Psi(x)|$

By ergodic thm: $N^{-1} \max_{x \in \partial[-N, N]^2} |\Psi(x)| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0,$

Thus: $N^{-1} \max_{x \in [-N, N]^2} |\Psi(x)| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0,$

$d \geq 2$, using heat kernel (upper) bound of [Morris, Peres (2005)]:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\{|R(t)| > \delta\sqrt{t}\} \wedge \{|X(t)| \leq K\sqrt{t}\}\right) \leq \delta^{-2}t^{-1}\mathbf{E}\left(|R(t)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|X(t)| \leq K\sqrt{t}\}}\right)$$

[Morris, Peres (2005)]: There exists $C = C(d) < \infty$ such that if $X(t)$ is nearest neighbour *bi-stochastic* rw on \mathbb{Z}^d with total jump rate $\mathbf{1}$, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{P}\left(X(t) = x\right) \leq Ct^{-d/2}.$$

Also: for $\Psi(x)$ with stationary increments

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-2} \mathbf{E}\left(|\Psi(x)|^2\right) = 0.$$

From these two:

$$t^{-1}\mathbf{E}\left(|R(t)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|X(t)| \leq K\sqrt{t}\}}\right) \leq Ct^{-d/2-1} \sum_{|x| \leq K\sqrt{t}} \mathbf{E}\left(|\Psi(x)|^2\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. □