

Bálint Tóth:

Scaling limits for
self-interacting random
walks and diffusions, (6)

lecture notes for
Berlin-Zürich Summer
School on

Stochastic Models of
Complex processes

31 Aug-4 Sept 2009

Bálint Tóth:

Scaling limits for
self-interacting random
walks and diffusions, (6)

Lecture notes for
Berlin-Zürich Summer
School on

Stochastic Models of
Complex processes

31 Aug-4 Sept 2009

Back to the Brownian Polymer model. (See pp 68-85 for description, (Ω, π) and the relevant operators over $L^2(\Omega, \pi) =: \mathcal{H}$)

Goal: CLT for the displacement in $d \geq 3$

Tools: "Kipnis-Varadhan technology"

To be checked:

- ② $H_{-1} : \|S^{-1/2} \varphi\|^2 < \infty$
- ③ \downarrow the Relaxed Sector Condition
 $B := S^{-1/2} A S^{-1/2}$ well defined
- ④ $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} E(X(t)^2) > 0$
 [ad absurdum $B(t) + M(t)$ could just cancel...]

-112-

Diffusive lower bound:

$$X(t) - X(s) = \sigma (B(t) - B(s)) + \int_s^t \varphi(\eta(u)) du$$

$$\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \varphi(\underline{\omega}) = \omega(0).$$

We prove that

$$(B(t) - B(s)) \text{ and } \int_s^t \varphi(\eta(u)) du$$

are uncorrelated

Let (for formal reasons)

$$M(s, t) := X(t) - X(s) - \int_s^t \varphi(\eta(u)) du$$

$$\left(= \sigma (B(t) - B(s)) \right)$$

$\mathcal{F}_{(s, t)} :=$ sigma-algebra generated by $(\eta(u) : s \leq u \leq t)$

Lemma

(1) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Then

$[s, \infty) \ni t \mapsto M(s, t)$ is a
(forward) martingale with respect to the
filtration $(\mathcal{F}_{(-\infty, t]} : t \geq s)$.

(2) Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Then

$(-\infty, t] \ni s \mapsto M(s, t)$ is a
(backward) martingale with respect to the
filtration $(\mathcal{F}_{(s, \infty)} : s \leq t)$.

Proof (1) nothing to prove: $\varphi(\gamma(t))$ is
exactly the compensator of $X(t)$, for
 t increasing.

(2) Use Yaglom reversibility

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) := -\gamma(-t); \quad \tilde{\gamma} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \gamma.$$

-114-

$$X(t) - X(s) = \Psi(\eta(u) : s \leq u \leq t)$$

(the displacement is a functional of the trajectory $\eta(\cdot) \dots$)

$$\tilde{X}(t) - \tilde{X}(s) = \Psi(\tilde{\eta}(u) : s \leq u \leq t)$$

the displacement along the backwards traj.

$$\tilde{X}(t) - \tilde{X}(s) = -X(-t) + X(-s)$$

Hence:

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} E(X(s-h) - X(s) | \mathcal{F}_{[s, \infty)}) =$$

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} (-\tilde{X}(-s+h) - \tilde{X}(-s) | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{[\infty, -s]}) =$$

$$= \lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} (-\varphi(\tilde{\eta}(-s)) - \varphi(-\tilde{\eta}(-s))) = \varphi(\eta(s))$$

□

H_{-1} -band (and diffusive upper band)

$$\varphi_l(\omega) = \omega_l(0) \quad l=1, 2, \dots, d$$

$$S = -\delta^2 \Delta$$

$$(S^{-1/2} \varphi_l, S^{-1/2} \varphi_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^{-2} \hat{C}_{lk}(p) dp$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_k p_l}{p^4} e^{-p^2/2} dp$$

$$= \delta_{kl} \cdot d^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{-p^2/2}}{p^2} dp < \infty$$

if $\boxed{d \geq 3}$

The Relaxed Sector Condition:

$$S = -\sigma^2 \Delta$$

$$A = A_+ + A_- = \sum_l (a_l^* \nabla_l + \nabla_l a_l)$$

$$B = B_+ + B_-$$

$$B_+ = \sigma^{-2} \sum_l |\Delta|^{-1/2} a_l^* |\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_l$$

$$B_- = \sigma^{-2} \sum_l |\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_l a_l |\Delta|^{-1/2}$$

these
are

formal

descriptions

Q: Do the operators B_{\pm}, B make sense as densely defined, closable, $B^* = -B$?

Computations are handy in the Hilbert space $\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \bigoplus_n \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_n$

The action of various operators on the

spaces

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_n \mathcal{K}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{K}} = \bigoplus_n \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n$$

see pages 76 - 83 for notation, definitions of operators, basics ...

$$\nabla_{\underline{\ell}} : \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n \quad (\text{only densely defined, of course...})$$

$$(\nabla_{\underline{\ell}} u)_{\underline{k}}(\underline{x}) := \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m\ell}} u_{\underline{k}}(\underline{x})$$

$$\nabla_{\underline{\ell}} : \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \quad (\text{only densely...})$$

$$(\nabla_{\underline{\ell}} \hat{u})_{\underline{k}}(\underline{p}) := i \left(\sum_{m=1}^n p_{m\ell} \right) \hat{u}_{\underline{k}}(\underline{p})$$

$$\Delta: \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n \quad (\text{only densely...})$$

$$(\Delta u)_{\underline{k}}(x) := \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{m, m'=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{ml} \partial x_{m'l}} u_{\underline{k}}(x).$$

$$\Delta: \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \quad (\text{only densely...})$$

$$(\Delta \hat{u})_{\underline{k}}(\varphi) := - \left| \sum_{m=1}^d p_m \right|^2 \hat{u}_{\underline{k}}(\varphi)$$

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2}: \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n \quad (\text{only densely})$$

no explicit formula

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2}: \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \quad (\text{only densely...})$$

$$\left(|\Delta|^{-1/2} \hat{u} \right)_{\underline{k}}(\varphi) := \left| \sum_{m=1}^d p_m \right|^{-1} \hat{u}_{\underline{k}}(\varphi)$$

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_\ell : \mathcal{K}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\ell \quad (\| |\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_\ell \| = 1)$$

no explicit formula

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_\ell : \hat{\mathcal{K}}_\ell \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_\ell \quad (\| |\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_\ell \| = 1)$$

$$\left(|\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_\ell \hat{u} \right)_{\underline{k}}(\varphi) := i \frac{\sum_{m=1}^n p_{m\ell}}{\left| \sum_{m=1}^n p_m \right|} \hat{u}_{\underline{k}}(\varphi)$$

$$a_\ell^* : \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \quad (\| a_\ell^* \| = \sqrt{n+1})$$

$$\left(a_\ell^* u \right)_{k_1 \dots k_{n+1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) :=$$

$$(n+1)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}_{k_1 \dots k_m \dots k_{n+1}}(x_1 \dots x_m \dots x_{n+1}) \delta_{\ell, k_m} \delta(x_m)$$



$$a_{\ell}^* : \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{n+1} \quad (\|a_{\ell}^*\| = \sqrt{n+1})$$

$$(a_{\ell}^* \hat{u})_{k_1 \dots k_{n+1}} (p_1 \dots p_{n+1}) :=$$

$$(n+1)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} \hat{u}_{k_1 \dots k_m \dots k_{n+1}} (p_1 \dots \cancel{p_m} \dots p_{n+1}) \delta_{\ell, k_m}$$

$$a_{\ell} : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n-1} \quad (\|a_{\ell}\| = \sqrt{n})$$

$$(a_{\ell} u)_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}} (x_1 \dots x_{n-1}) :=$$

$$n^{1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{U}_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1} \mathbf{k}} (x_1 \dots x_{n-1} \mathbf{x}) \hat{G}_{\ell \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$a_{\ell} : \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{n-1} \quad (\|a_{\ell}\| = \sqrt{n})$$

$$(a_{\ell} \hat{u})_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1}} (p_1 \dots p_{n-1}) :=$$

$$n^{1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{u}_{k_1 \dots k_{n-1} \mathbf{k}} (p_1 \dots p_{n-1} \mathbf{p}) \hat{G}_{\ell \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$

A core for the operators: $d \geq 3$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n := \left\{ \hat{u} \in \mathcal{X}_n : \sup_{\substack{\ell, k \\ \ell \neq k}} |\hat{u}_{\ell k}(\varphi)| < \infty \right\}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_n \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n$$

$$\mathbb{D}_+ = \delta^{-2} \sum_{\ell=1}^d |\Delta|^{-1/2} a_\ell^* |\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_\ell$$

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_\ell : \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n$$

$$a_\ell^* : \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1}$$

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2} : \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \quad (d \geq 3).$$

$$B_- = \delta^{-2} \sum_{l=1}^d |\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_l a_l |\Delta|^{-1/2}$$

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2} : \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \quad (d \geq 3)$$

$$a_l : \hat{\mathcal{K}}_n \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n+1} \quad (\text{odd!})$$

$$|\Delta|^{-1/2} \nabla_l : \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n+1} \quad (\text{odd!})$$

So, B_+ & B_- are both densely defined

Remark: This can't be done for $d=1,2$!!

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Next: } B_+^* \Big|_{\mathcal{L}} = -B_- \\ B_-^* \Big|_{\mathcal{L}} = -B_+ \end{array} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} B_+^* \\ B_-^* \end{array}} \right\} \text{computation!}$$

Follows both are closable and

$$\overline{B_{\pm}} = B_{\pm}^{**} \subseteq -B_{\mp}^*$$

Actually:

$$B_+ : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \quad ; \quad B_- : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$$

closed: $\overline{B}_+ : \mathcal{D}_{+n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \quad ; \quad \overline{B}_- : \mathcal{D}_{-n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$

$$\mathcal{D}_{+n} := \text{Dom} \left(\overline{B}_+ \Big|_{\mathcal{H}_n} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{-n} := \text{Dom} \left(\overline{B}_- \Big|_{\mathcal{H}_n} \right)$$

and
$$\left(\overline{B}_+ \Big|_{\mathcal{D}_{+n}} \right)^* = -\overline{B}_- \Big|_{\mathcal{D}_{-(n+1)}}$$

$$\left(\overline{B}_- \Big|_{\mathcal{D}_{-n}} \right)^* = -\overline{B}_+ \Big|_{\mathcal{D}_{+(n+1)}}$$

...

Similarly:

$B = B_+ + B_-$ densely defined,
skew symmetric (on \mathcal{L})
closable

Next to be checked:

$$\text{Ran } (I \pm B)^\perp = \{0\}.$$

Let $\Psi = (\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \dots)$ $\Psi_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$

$$(\Psi, (I + B)\varphi) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Dom}(B)$$

\Downarrow

$\forall n: \forall \varphi_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$

$$(\Psi_n, \varphi_n) + (\Psi_{n+1}, B_+ \varphi_n) + (\Psi_{n-1}, B_- \varphi_n) = 0$$

$$(\Psi_n - B_- \Psi_{n+1} - B_+ \Psi_{n-1}, \varphi_n) = 0$$

That is

$$\psi_n - B_- \psi_{n+1} - B_+ \psi_{n-1} = 0$$

$$\psi - B\psi = 0 \quad | \quad (\psi, \cdot)$$

$$\|\psi\|^2 = (\psi, B\psi) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

Thus B is not only skew symmetric & closed but it is

skew self adjoint

$$B^* = -B$$

The convergence :

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{C} : \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|B_\lambda f - Bf\| = 0$$

computation. \square