Limit/large dev. thms. HW assignment 1.

- 1. (a) Express the logarithmic moment generating function (see page 7 of scanned lecture notes) of aX + b in terms of the logarithmic moment generating function of X.
 - (b) Let X and Y denote independent random variables. Express the logarithmic moment generating function of X + Y in terms of the logarithmic moment generating functions of X and Y.
 - (c) Let X_1, X_2, \ldots denote i.i.d. random variables and let N denote a non-negative integer-valued random variable, which is independent from X_1, X_2, \ldots Let

$$Y = X_1 + \dots X_N.$$

Denote by \widehat{I} the log. mom. gen. function of X_i and denote by \widehat{J} the log. mom. gen. function of N. Show that the log. mom. gen. function of Y is $\widehat{J} \circ \widehat{I}$.

Solution:

(a) $Z_X(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$. Let Y = aX + b. We have

$$Z_Y(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda a X + \lambda b}] = e^{\lambda b} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda a X}] = e^{\lambda b} Z(a\lambda),$$

thus $\widehat{I}_Y(\lambda) = \ln(Z_Y(\lambda)) = \lambda b + \widehat{I}_X(a\lambda).$

(b) Let Z = X + Y. Then we have

$$\widehat{I}_Z(\lambda) = \ln(\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]) = \ln(\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}e^{\lambda Y}]) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \ln(\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}]) = \widehat{I}_X(\lambda) + \widehat{I}_Y(\lambda),$$

where (*) follows from the fact that the expectation of the product of independent random variables is equal to the product of their expectations.

(c) Let $p_k = \mathbb{P}(N = k)$ for k = 0, 1, 2, ...Then $\widehat{J}(\lambda) = \ln \left(\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda N}]\right) = \ln \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k e^{\lambda k}\right)$. Let $Z(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_i})$, thus $\widehat{I}(\lambda) = \ln(Z(\lambda))$.

$$Z_Y(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_1 + \dots + \lambda X_N}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_1 + \dots + \lambda X_N} \mid N = k] \mathbb{P}(N = k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_1} \dots e^{\lambda X_k}] \cdot p_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_1}] \dots \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_k}] \cdot p_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k Z(\lambda)^k,$$

thus

$$\widetilde{I}_Y(\lambda) = \ln(Z_Y(\lambda)) = \ln\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k Z(\lambda)^k\right) = \ln\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k e^{\widehat{I}(\lambda)k}\right) = \widehat{J}\left(\widehat{I}(\lambda)\right).$$

- 2. Let $Y \sim \text{POI}(10000)$ (Poisson distribution with parameter 10000). The goal of this exercise is to estimate the number of zero digits (after the decimal point) before the first non-zero digit in the decimal expansion of the probability $\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 27182)$. You will give an upper bound and a lower bound using different methods.
 - (a) Calculate the logarithmic moment generating function $\widehat{I}(\lambda)$ of the POI(μ) distribution (see page 7 of the scanned lecture notes) and calculate its Legendre transform I(x) (page 9 of scanned).
 - (b) In order to give an upper bound on $\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 27182)$, use the *exponential Chebyshev's inequality* (i.e., the method that we used on the top of page 8 of the scanned lecture notes).
 - (c) In order to give a lower bound on $\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 27182)$, estimate $\mathbb{P}(Y = 27182)$ using the crude version of Stirling's formula (page 3 of scanned).
 - (d) Based on the above calculations, what is the approximate number of zero digits (after the decimal point) before the first non-zero digit in the decimal expansion of the probability $\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 27182)$?

Solution:

(a) If $X \sim \text{POI}(\mu)$, then $\mathbb{P}(X = k) = e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^k}{k!}$, hence

$$Z(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda k} e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^k}{k!} = e^{-\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu e^{\lambda})^k}{k!} = e^{-\mu} \exp(\mu e^{\lambda}) = \exp(\mu \cdot (e^{\lambda} - 1)),$$

which implies that $\widehat{I}(\lambda) = \ln(Z(\lambda)) = \mu \cdot (e^{\lambda} - 1)$. Now $I(x) = \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{x\lambda - \widehat{I}(\lambda)\}$, thus we first need to find $\lambda^* = \lambda^*(x)$ such that $x = \widehat{I}'(\lambda^*)$. Now $\widehat{I}'(\lambda) = \mu e^{\lambda}$, thus $\lambda^* = \ln(\frac{x}{\mu})$ and

$$I(x) = x\lambda^* - \widehat{I}(\lambda^*) = x\ln(\frac{x}{\mu}) - \mu \cdot (e^{\ln(\frac{x}{\mu})} - 1) = x\ln\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) + \mu - x \quad \text{if} \quad x \ge 0.$$

Note that if x < 0 then $I(x) = +\infty$ because $\lim_{\lambda \to -\infty} (x\lambda - \widehat{I}(\lambda)) = +\infty$.

(b) $27182 \approx 10000 \cdot e$, thus $\mu = 10000$, $x = 10000 \cdot e$ and $\lambda^* = \ln(\frac{x}{\mu}) = \ln(e) = 1$ and

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 27182) = \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda^* Y} \ge e^{\lambda^* 27182}) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda^* Y})}{e^{\lambda^* 27182}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(e^Y)}{e^{10000e}} = \frac{\exp(10000 \cdot (e-1))}{e^{10000e}} = e^{-10000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{100e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{100e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{100e^{1000e^{100e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{1000e^{100e^{100e^{1000e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{100e^{1000e^{1000e^{100$$

(c) $\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 27182) \ge \mathbb{P}(Y = 27182) = e^{-10000} \frac{10000^{27182}}{27182!}$. Now we crudely replace 27182! by $27182^{27182}e^{-27182}$, so $e^{-10000} \frac{10000^{27182}}{27182!}$ is crudely replaced by

$$e^{-10000} \frac{10000^{27182}}{27182^{27182}e^{-27182}} \stackrel{(*)}{=} e^{-10000} \frac{10000^{27182}}{10000^{27182} \cdot e^{27182}e^{-27182}} = e^{-10000},$$

where in (*) we also replaced 21782 by $10000 \cdot e$. Of course this calculation was not entirely rigorous: in order to make it rigorous, we can use more precise versions of Stirling's formula.

(d) We see from the upper bound of (b) and (non-rigorous) lower bound of (c) that it is OK to replace $\log_{10}(\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 2718))$ by $\log_{10}(e^{-10000}) = -10000 \cdot \log_{10}(e) \approx -4343$. Thus the number of zero digits before the first non-zero digit in the decimal expansion of the probability $\mathbb{P}(Y \ge 27182)$ is roughly 4343.

Remark: This exercise can be viewed as a large deviation theorem for the sum of i.i.d. random variables. If X_1, X_2, \ldots are i.i.d. with $POI(\mu)$ distribution and $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, then $S_n \sim POI(n\mu)$. So what we have just proved is a special case of *Cramér's theorem*, which implies that for any $x \ge \mu$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \ge x\right) = -I(x),$$

where I(x) was calculated in part (a) of the exercise. What we estimated in parts (b) and (c) amounts to the case $\mu = 1$, n = 10000 and x = e.

3. Laplace's principle. Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$ and let $J : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ denote a continuous function. Let us also assume that there is $x^* \in (a, b)$ for which $J(x^*) = \min_{x \in (a, b)} J(x)$ and that $\int_a^b e^{-J(x)} dx < +\infty$. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\int_a^b e^{-nJ(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right) = J(x^*). \tag{1}$$

Hint: Prove the liminf bound and the limsup bound separately.

Solution: Let us denote

$$\alpha = J(x^*) = \min_{x \in (a,b)} J(x).$$

We have

$$e^{-nJ(x)} \le e^{-(n-1)\alpha} e^{-J(x)}, \qquad x \in (a,b),$$

 ${\rm thus}$

$$\ln\left(\int_a^b e^{-nJ(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right) \le \ln\left(e^{-(n-1)\alpha} \int_a^b e^{-J(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right) = -(n-1)\alpha + \ln\left(\int_a^b e^{-J(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right),$$

thus

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\int_a^b e^{-nJ(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(-(n-1)\alpha + \ln \left(\int_a^b e^{-J(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \right) = -\alpha.$$
(2)

Now we want to bound the integral in the other direction. We will show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\int_{a}^{b} e^{-nJ(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \ge -(\alpha + \varepsilon). \tag{3}$$

Note that the fact that (3) holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$, together with (2), implies (1). It remains to show (3).

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking into account that J is continuous, we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in [x^* - \delta, x^* + \delta]$ we have $J(x) \le \alpha + \varepsilon$. Therefore we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} e^{-nJ(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{x^*-\delta}^{x^*+\delta} e^{-nJ(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{x^*-\delta}^{x^*+\delta} e^{-n(\alpha+\varepsilon)} \, \mathrm{d}x = 2\delta e^{-n(\alpha+\varepsilon)},$$

therefore

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\int_{a}^{b} e^{-nJ(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(2\delta e^{-n(\alpha+\varepsilon)} \right) = -(\alpha+\varepsilon).$$

This proves (3).