

RECALL: $\underset{A}{X} \sim F$ IS STABLE IF $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{N} :$

$\exists d_\epsilon > 0, \beta_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ SUCH THAT IF X_1, \dots, X_n I.I.D., $X_i \sim F$

THEN

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{d_\epsilon} - \beta_\epsilon \underset{B}{\sim} F$$

THM: THESE TWO CONDITIONS ARE EQUIV:

① X IS STABLE AND $-X \underset{C}{\sim} X$ (SYMMETRIC)

② $\exists c > 0$ AND $d \underset{D}{\in} (0, 2]$ SUCH THAT

$$\varphi(t) = \underset{E}{\mathbb{E}}(e^{itX}) = \underset{F}{\exp}(-c \cdot |t|^d)$$

PROOF OF ② \Rightarrow ① : $d = 2 \underset{G}{\checkmark}$ STABILITY \checkmark SYMMETRY \checkmark

LEMMA: LET X_1, X_2, \dots I.I.D. WITH P.D.F.

$$f(x) = \underset{H}{\frac{\lambda}{2 \cdot |x|^{\lambda+1}}} \cdot \mathbb{1}[|x| > 1], \quad \underset{I}{0} < \lambda < 2 \underset{J}{\checkmark}$$

LET $S_m \underset{K}{=} X_1 + \dots + X_m, \quad Z_m \underset{L}{=} S_m / m^{\lambda/2}$

THEN $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underset{M}{\mathbb{E}}(e^{itZ_m}) = e^{-c \cdot |t|^\lambda}$ WHERE

$$c = \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{y^{\lambda+1}} dy$$

COROLLARY: BY THE THM. ON PAGE 108,

THIS IMPLIES THAT

$$\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} = z_n \xrightarrow{\text{A}} z \xrightarrow{\text{B}}$$

WHERE

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{itz}) = \exp(-c \cdot |t|^\alpha)$$

SO THE PROOF OF $\textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \textcircled{1}$ ✓ GIVEN LEMMA.

PROOF OF LEMMA:

NOTE: $-x_{ij} \underset{\text{D}}{\sim} x_{ij}$

REMARK: FOR THE $\alpha=2$ CASE OF THIS LEMMA, SEE PAGE 121-122 ("BORDERLINE CLT")

NOTE: $P(x_{ij} \geq x) = \int_x^{\infty} f(y) dy = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{IF } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^\alpha} & \text{IF } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) := \mathbb{E}(e^{itx_{ij}}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} \cdot f(x) dx = \text{SYMMETRY} = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(tx) \cdot f(x) dx \stackrel{\text{J}}{=} 2 \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \cos(tx) \cdot f(x) dx = \\ &= 2 \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\cos(tx)}{x^{\alpha+1}} dx \quad \text{SYMMETRY} \quad \text{W.L.O.G.: } t \geq 0 \\ \Psi(-t) &\stackrel{\text{L}}{=} \Psi(t), \text{ THUS} \end{aligned}$$

WE WILL SHOW:

AS $t \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\Psi(t) = 1 - c \cdot t^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$



PAGE 149

$$1 - \varphi(t) = \underset{A}{\varphi(0)} - \underset{B}{\varphi(t)} = d \cdot \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(tx)}{x^{d+1}} dx = \underset{C}{\overline{P}}$$

$$= d \cdot \int_t^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{(y/t)^{d+1}} \frac{1}{t} dy = \underset{D}{\text{?}}$$

$$= d \cdot t^d \cdot \int_t^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{y^{d+1}} dy = \underset{E}{\text{?}}$$

NOTE: $C = \underset{F}{d} \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{y^{d+1}} dy < +\infty$ BECAUSE:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{y^{d+1}} dy \underset{H}{\leq} \int_0^1 \frac{y^2/2}{y^{d+1}} dy \underset{I}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 y^{1-d} dy < +\infty \underset{J}{\text{?}}$$

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{y^{d+1}} dy \underset{K}{\leq} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{2}{y^{d+1}} dy \underset{L}{\leq} +\infty \quad \underset{\curvearrowleft}{\text{?}} \quad \underset{d > 0}{\text{?}}$$

$$\int_0^t \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{y^{d+1}} dy \underset{M}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t y^{1-d} dy \underset{N}{=} \mathcal{O}(t^{2-d})$$

$$\underset{\text{?}}{\text{?}} = t^d \cdot \left(C - d \cdot \int_0^t \frac{1 - \cos(y)}{y^{d+1}} dy \right) \underset{P}{=} t^d \cdot (C - \mathcal{O}(t^{2-d})) = \underset{Q}{=} C \cdot t^d + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

THUS  ✓

$$\text{THUS } \underset{R}{\varphi(t)} = 1 - C \cdot |t|^d + \mathcal{O}(|t|^2), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{it\zeta_m}) \underset{\text{A}}{=} \varphi\left(\frac{t}{\gamma^{1/\alpha}}\right)^m \underset{\text{B}}{=} \text{smiley face}$$

$$\left(1 - c \cdot \left|\frac{t}{\gamma^{1/\alpha}}\right|^\alpha + O\left(\left(\frac{|t|}{\gamma^{1/\alpha}}\right)^2\right)\right)^m \underset{\text{B2}}{=} d < 2$$

$$\left(1 - c \cdot \frac{|t|^d}{m} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)^m \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{c} e^{-c \cdot |t|^\alpha} \quad \checkmark$$

PROOF OF $\textcircled{1} \underset{\text{D}}{\Rightarrow} \textcircled{2}$: WE WANT TO SHOW

THAT IF φ IS THE CHAR. FUNCTION OF
A SYMMETRIC STABLE LAW THEN

$$\varphi(t) \underset{\text{D}}{=} e^{-c \cdot |t|^\alpha}$$

LEMMA:

$$\textcircled{A} \quad \varphi(t) \underset{\text{E}}{=} \varphi(-t) \underset{\text{F}}{=} \overline{\varphi(t)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\textcircled{B} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \varphi(t) \underset{\text{G}}{>} 0$$

\textcircled{C} IF $b \geq a > 0$ AND

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \varphi(a \cdot t) \underset{\text{H}}{=} \varphi(b \cdot t) \quad \text{THEN } \boxed{b = a}$$

(φ IS NOT EXPONENTIALLY PERIODIC)

PROOF: SYMM SEE PAGE 87

Ⓐ: $\varphi(t) = \underset{A}{\varphi(-t)} = \underset{B}{\overline{\varphi(t)}}$, THUS $\varphi(t) \in \mathbb{R}$

NOTE: BY SYMMETRY & STABILITY:

$\exists \delta > 0 : \underset{C}{\varphi^2(t)} = \underset{D}{\varphi(\delta \cdot t)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

BUT $\delta \neq 1$ SINCE IF $\underset{D}{\varphi^2(t)} \equiv \underset{E}{\varphi(t)}$ THEN

$\varphi(t) = 0$ OR $\varphi(t) = 1$, BUT $\underset{E}{\varphi(0)} = 1$ AND

φ IS CONTINUOUS, THUS $\underset{F}{\varphi(t)} \equiv 1$ ↴

Ⓑ: $\underset{G}{\varphi(0)} = 1$, SO ENOUGH TO SHOW

THAT $\forall t : \underset{H}{\varphi(t)} \neq 0$. INDEED:

IF $\underset{H}{\varphi(t_0)} = 0$, THEN $\underset{I}{\varphi(\delta t_0)} = \underset{J}{\varphi^2(t_0)} = \underset{K}{0^2} = 0$

$\underset{L}{\varphi(\delta^2 t_0)} = \underset{M}{\varphi(\delta \cdot (\delta \cdot t_0))} = \underset{N}{\varphi^2(\delta \cdot t_0)} = \unders{O}{0^2} = 0$

SIMILARLY: $\underset{P}{\varphi(\delta^3 t_0)} = 0$

ALSO: $\underset{Q}{\varphi^2(\delta^{-1} \cdot t_0)} = \underset{R}{\varphi(\delta \cdot \delta^{-1} \cdot t_0)} = \underset{S}{\varphi(t_0)} = 0$,

THUS $\underset{T}{\varphi(\delta^{-1} t_0)} = 0$, THUS $\underset{U}{\varphi(\delta^{-2} t_0)} = 0$

$\sigma \neq 1$ THUS $\sigma \cdot t_0 \xrightarrow[\infty]{\text{A}} 0$ OR $\sigma \cdot t_0 \xrightarrow[\infty]{\text{B}} 0$

WHICH CONTRADICTS $\varphi(0) = 1$ AND
THE CONTINUITY OF φ .

C: $b \geq a$ $\varphi(t) = \varphi(b \cdot \frac{t}{b}) = \varphi(a \cdot \frac{t}{b})$

THUS $\varphi(t) = \varphi(\frac{a}{b}t) = \varphi((\frac{a}{b})^k \cdot t)$

BUT IF $\varphi(t_0) \neq 1$ THEN $(\frac{a}{b})^k \xrightarrow[\infty]{\text{H}} 0$

CONTRADICTS $\varphi(0) = 1$ AND CONT. OF φ .

PROOF OF $\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \textcircled{2}$:

BY SYMMETRY & STABILITY: $\exists g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

SUCH THAT

$$\varphi(t)^n = \varphi(g(n) \cdot t) \quad \forall t$$

THUS:

$$\varphi(g(m \cdot m) \cdot t) = \varphi(t)^{m \cdot m} = \varphi(g(m) \cdot t)^m = \varphi(g(m) \cdot g(m) \cdot t)$$

THUS BY C: $g(m \cdot m) = g(m) \cdot g(m)$

g IS MULTIPLICATIVE.

NOTE: $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{g(m)} \cdot t\right)^m \underset{\text{A}}{=} \varphi(g(m) \cdot \frac{1}{g(m)} \cdot t) = \varphi(t)$

THUS $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{g(m)} \cdot t\right) \underset{\text{C}}{=} \varphi(t)^{1/m}$ BY (B)

THUS $\varphi\left(\frac{g(m)}{g(m)} \cdot t\right) \underset{\text{D}}{=} \varphi(g(m) \cdot t)^{1/m} \underset{\text{E}}{=} \varphi(t)^{m/m}$

THUS WE CAN EXTEND $g: \mathbb{Q}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$g\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) := \frac{g(n)}{g(m)}$$

IN SUCH A WAY THAT

$\varphi(g(r) \cdot t) \underset{\text{G}}{=} \varphi(t)^r$ FOR ANY $r \in \mathbb{Q}_+$

MOREOVER: $g: \mathbb{Q}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ IS ALSO MULTIPLICATIVE

NOW $g: \mathbb{Q}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ IS CONTINUOUS BECAUSE

IT IS MULTIPLICATIVE AND IT IS

CONTINUOUS AT 1: INDEED, IF

$r_k \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ AND $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k \underset{\text{H}}{=} 1$ AND $g(r_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} s \neq 1$

THEN $\varphi(s \cdot t) \underset{\text{J}}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(g(r_k) \cdot t) \underset{\text{K}}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)^{r_k} \underset{\text{L}}{=} \varphi(t)$

NOW AT $\varphi(st) = \varphi(t)$ CONTRADICTS

THUS $g: \mathbb{Q}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ CAN BE EXTENDED
TO $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ SUCH THAT THE
EXTENDED g IS STILL CONTINUOUS,
AND MULTIPLICATIVE. \checkmark

FACT: (CAUCHY'S FUNCTIONAL EQUATION)

THESE IMPLY THAT

$$g(r) = r^\beta \quad | r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \text{A}$$

FOR SOME $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. \checkmark [HW 10.3]

THUS

$$\varphi(t)^r = \varphi(r^\beta \cdot t) \quad \text{B}$$

$$\varphi(1)^r = \varphi(r^\beta) \quad \text{C}$$

LET

$$d := \frac{1}{\beta} \quad \text{D}$$

$$\varphi(1)^{t^d} = \varphi(t) \quad \text{E}$$

LET

$$c := -\ln(\varphi(1)) \quad \text{F}$$

THEN

$$\varphi(t) = \exp(-c \cdot t^d) \quad \checkmark \quad \text{G}$$