

DEF: LET  $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$ ,  $X \sim F$

WE SAY THAT THE DISTRIBUTION OF

$X$  IS STABLE IF

HEREIN  $\exists d_r > 0, \beta_r \in \mathbb{R}$  SUCH

THAT IF  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  I.I.D.,  $X_i \sim F$

THEN

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{d_r} - \beta_r \stackrel{A}{\sim} F$$

REMARK: IF  $X$  IS STABLE THEN

a.  $X + b$  IS STABLE  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

EXAMPLES: IF  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  THEN

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{\sqrt{r}} \stackrel{B}{\sim} N(0, 1) \quad \text{THUS } N(0, 1) \text{ IS STABLE!}$$

THUS  $N(\mu, \sigma)$  IS ALSO STABLE.

$$\varphi(t) = e^{-t^2/2}$$

IF  $X \sim \text{CAU}(1)$  (STANDARD CAUCHY)

THEN  $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{r} \sim \text{CAU}(1)$  THUS CAUCHY IS STABLE!

**A** SEE PAGE 105-106-107

**B**  $\Psi(t) = e^{-|t|}$

IF  $X \sim \text{LÉVY}$  (SEE PAGE 61)

THEN  $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{r^2} \sim \text{LÉVY}$  THUS LÉVY IS STABLE!

**C**

**D** PROOF: HW 7.2 (d) :  $\Psi(t) = e^{-\sqrt{-2it}}$

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[ \exp(i \cdot t \cdot \left( \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{r^2} \right)) \right] = \Psi \left( \frac{t}{r^2} \right)^r = \\ & = e^{r \cdot (-\sqrt{-2it/r^2})} = e^{-\sqrt{-2it}} = \Psi(t) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

**E** **F** **G**

THM: IF  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  I.I.D.,  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  IF THERE EXIST  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$  SUCH THAT

$$\frac{S_n - b_n}{a_n} \Rightarrow Z \quad \text{H}$$

THEN  $Z$  IS STABLE.

PAGE 141

PROOF:

FIX  $q$  AND LET

$$S_n^{(j)} := \sum_{\substack{A \\ n \cdot (j-1) < i \leq n \cdot j}} x_i$$

$$j = 1, \dots, q$$

THEN  $S_n^{(1)}, S_n^{(2)}, \dots, S_n^{(q)}$  ARE I.I.D.  $\blacksquare$

LET

$$z_n^{(j)} := \frac{S_n^{(j)} - b_n}{a_n} \quad B$$

$$z_{q \cdot n} := \frac{S_{q \cdot n} - b_{q \cdot n}}{a_{q \cdot n}} \quad C$$

THUS

$$\underbrace{S_n^{(1)} + \dots + S_n^{(q)}}_D = S_{q \cdot n} \quad \blacksquare$$

$$a_n \cdot (z_n^{(1)} + \dots + z_n^{(q)}) + q \cdot b_n = a_{q \cdot n} \cdot z_{q \cdot n} + b_{q \cdot n} \quad E$$

$$\text{THUS } \underbrace{\frac{a_n}{a_{q \cdot n}} \cdot (z_n^{(1)} + \dots + z_n^{(q)})}_W - \left( \frac{b_{q \cdot n} - q \cdot b_n}{a_{q \cdot n}} \right) = \underbrace{z_{q \cdot n}}_F \quad \blacksquare$$

NOW LET

$$n \rightarrow \infty :$$

$$\underbrace{z^{(1)} + \dots + z^{(q)}}_E \quad \blacksquare$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \blacksquare$$

$$\underbrace{z_1}_F \quad \blacksquare$$

THUS IF WE CAN PROVE THAT  $\blacksquare$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{q \cdot n}} =: \frac{1}{d_q} \quad G$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{q \cdot n} - q \cdot b_n}{a_{q \cdot n}} =: \beta_q \quad H$$

$$\text{THE N } \frac{z^{(1)} + \dots + z^{(q)}}{d_q} - \beta_q \underset{|}{\sim} z_1$$

THUS  $z$  IS STABLE.



THUS WE ONLY NEED:

LEMMA: LET  $W_m \Rightarrow W$  AND

LET  $d_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $B_m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $W_m^* = d_m \cdot W_m + B_m$

IF  $W_m^* \Rightarrow W^*$  AND IF BOTH  $W$  AND

$W^*$  ARE NON-DEGENERATE R.V.'S, THEN

THE LIMITS  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n =: d$  D,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n =: B$  E EXIST.

PROOF:

NOTE:  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n > 0$  F

INDEED: IF  $(n')$  IS A SUBSEQUENCE FOR WHICH  $\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} d_{n'} = 0$  THEN  $d_{n'} \cdot W_{n'} \Rightarrow 0$  H

THUS  $W^* = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} B_{n'}$ , THUS  $W^*$  IS DEGENERATE I

SIMILARLY:  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n < +\infty$  J INDEED:

IF  $\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} d_{n'} = +\infty$  THEN  $\frac{1}{d_{n'}} W_{n'} \Rightarrow 0$ , THUS

$W = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-B_{n'}}{d_{n'}}$ , THUS  $W$  IS DEGENERATE M

THUS  $\exists K \in (0, +\infty)$  SUCH THAT

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{K} \leq d_n \leq K$$

NOW  $(w_n)$  IS TIGHT, THUS  $(d_n \cdot w_n)$  IS ALSO TIGHT, THUS  $(|B_n|)$  MUST BE BOUNDED, OTHERWISE WE WOULD HAVE  $w_{n_1}^* = d_{n_1} \cdot w_{n_1} + B_{n_1} \xrightarrow{c} \pm \infty$  FOR SOME SUBSEQUENCE  $(n_1)$ , WHICH CONTRADICTS THE FACT THAT  $w^*$  IS NON-DEG.

THUS  $\exists K \in (0, +\infty)$  SUCH THAT

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{K} \leq d_n \leq K, -K \leq B_n \leq K$$

NOW IF  $(d_n, B_n)$  DOES NOT CONVERGE THEN THERE EXIST TWO SUBSEQUENTIAL LIMITS  $(\bar{d}, \bar{B})$  AND  $(\tilde{d}, \tilde{B})$ .

THUS BY SLLUTSKY:

$$d \cdot w + B \underset{G}{\sim} w^* \underset{H}{\sim} \tilde{d} \cdot w + \tilde{B}, \text{ THUS}$$

$$\star \rightarrow w \underset{I}{\sim} \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}} \cdot w + \frac{B - \tilde{B}}{\tilde{d}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}, \tilde{d} &\in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ B, \tilde{B} &\in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

W.L.O.G. ASSUME  $\tilde{\lambda} \geq \lambda$

IF  $\tilde{\lambda} > \lambda$  THEN  $\left(\frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}}\right)^n \xrightarrow[B]{\substack{? \\ \infty}} 0$ , THUS

ITERATING  $\star$  WE WOULD OBTAIN THAT  
W IS DEGENERATE.

THUS  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda$  AND

THUS WE MUST HAVE  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$  OTHERWISE

$w = \pm \infty$   $\downarrow$   $\square$   $\square$   $\square$

$$w \sim w + (\beta - \tilde{\beta})/\lambda$$

THM: THESE TWO CONDITIONS ARE EQUIV:

①  $X$  IS STABLE AND  $-X \sim X$  E (SYMMETRIC)

②  $\exists c > 0$  AND  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  SUCH THAT

$$\varphi(t) = E(e^{itX}) \underset{F}{=} \exp(-c \cdot |t|^\alpha)$$

PROOF: LATER.

REMARKS:  $c$  CAN BE CHANGED BY SCALING.

$\lambda$  IS THE INDEX OF  $X$ .

REMARK: INDEED STABLE:  $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_d}{k^{1/\alpha}} \sim \bar{X}$

PROOF:  $\varphi\left(\frac{t}{k^{1/\alpha}}\right)^d = \exp(-c \cdot k \cdot \left|\frac{t}{k^{1/\alpha}}\right|^\alpha) = \varphi(t)$  ✓

WE WILL PROVE THAT  $e^{-c|t|^\alpha}$  IS INDEED THE CHAR. FUNCTION OF A R.V. AND

IF  $\varphi(t) = E(e^{it\bar{X}})$  AND  $\bar{X}$  IS SYMMETRIC STABLE, THEN  $\varphi(t) = e^{-c|t|^\alpha}$ .

NOTE:  $d=2$  NORMAL,  $k=1$  CAUCHY.

PROPOSITION: IF  $d \in (0, 1]$  THEN  $\varphi(t) = e^{-c|t|^\alpha}$  A CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION.

PROOF:  $\varphi(0) = 1$ ,  $\varphi(-t) = \varphi(t)$ ,

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = 0$ ,  $\varphi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  IS CONVEX:

$\varphi''(t) \geq 0$  (HERE WE USED  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ )

THUS BY HIL 9.3  $\varphi$  IS A CHAR. FUNCTION.

REMARK: IF  $\mathbb{E}(e^{itX}) = \frac{1}{A} e^{-c|t|^{\alpha}}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$

THEN THERE IS NO SIMPLE EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR THE P.D.F. OF  $X$   
(EXCEPT FOR THE  $\alpha=2$  AND  $\alpha=1$  CASES)



REMARK: IF  $\alpha > 2$  THEN  $\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{B} e^{-c|t|^{\alpha}}$

IS NOT A CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION.

NAIVE PROOF:  $\Psi''(0) = \frac{1}{C} \cdot 0$ , THUS

$\mathbb{E}(X^2) = 0$  (SEE PAGE 90), BUT

THEN  $P(X = 0) = 1$   $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(e^{itX}) = 1$

BUT THIS NAIVE PROOF HAS A GAP:

THE THEOREM FROM PAGE 90 ONLY SAID SOMETHING ABOUT  $\Psi''(0)$  IF WE ALSO

ASSUMED  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) < +\infty$ .

WE WILL FIX THIS GAP IN

HW 10.1