


THM (LINDBERGF) :

$\sum_{m,r} X_{m,r}$, $r=1, 2, \dots, N_m$ INDEP. R.V.'S 

$$E\left(\sum_{m,r} X_{m,r}\right) \stackrel{\text{A}}{=} 0, \quad \text{Var}\left(\sum_{m,r} X_{m,r}\right) \stackrel{\text{B}}{=} \sigma_{m,r}^2$$

$$S_m \stackrel{\text{C}}{=} \sum_{m,1} + \dots + \sum_{m,N_m} \quad \text{W.L.O.G.} \quad \text{ASSUME:} \quad \text{E} \quad \text{★} \quad \text{↓} \quad \text{F}$$

$$\sigma_m^2 \stackrel{\text{D}}{=} \text{Var}(S_m) = \sum_{r=1}^{N_m} \sigma_{m,r}^2$$

W.L.O.G. 
ASSUME:

$$\sigma_m^2 \stackrel{\text{E}}{=} 1$$



$$\text{IF } \forall \varepsilon > 0: \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=1}^{N_m} E\left(|\sum_{m,r} X_{m,r}|^2 \cdot \mathbb{I}[|\sum_{m,r} X_{m,r}| > \varepsilon]\right) = 0 \quad \text{F}$$

THEN $S_m \stackrel{\text{G}}{\Rightarrow} N(0,1)$ AS $m \rightarrow \infty$

PROOF: WE HAVE SEEN ON PAGE 117 THAT

★ IMPLIES: $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq r \leq N_m} \sigma_{m,r}^2 = 0 \quad \text{H} \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{★}$

LET $\Psi_{m,r}(t) := E\left(e^{it \sum_{m,r} X_{m,r}}\right) \quad \text{I} \quad \text{★}$

WANT: $\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{r=1}^{N_m} \Psi_{m,r}(t) = e^{-t^2/2} \quad \text{J} \quad \text{★}$

WANT: $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \ln(\Psi_{n,k}(t)) \right) = 0$ A

LEMMA: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \left| \Psi_{n,k}(t) - 1 + \sigma_{n,k}^2 \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} \right| = 0$ B

PROOF: BY HW 6.3(e), WE HAVE

$$\left| \Psi_{n,k}(t) - 1 + \sigma_{n,k}^2 \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} \right| \leq \text{C}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\min \left\{ \frac{t^3}{6} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right|^3, t^2 \cdot \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right|^2 \right\} \right) \leq \text{D}$$

$$\frac{t^3}{6} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right|^3 \cdot \mathbb{1} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right| \leq \varepsilon \right] \right) + t^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right|^2 \cdot \mathbb{1} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right| > \varepsilon \right] \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{t^3}{6} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right|^2 \right), \text{ THUS } \forall \varepsilon > 0:$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \left| \Psi_{n,k}(t) - 1 + \sigma_{n,k}^2 \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} \right| \leq \text{G}$$

$$\frac{t^3}{6} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \sigma_{n,k}^2}_{=1} + t^2 \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right|^2 \cdot \mathbb{1} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \Psi_{n,k} \right| > \varepsilon \right] \right) = 0 \text{ BY } \star$$

THUS LEMMA ✓

IT REMAINS TO SHOW 😊 USING LEMMA:

$$\left| \frac{t^2}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{N_m} \ln(\Psi_{m,r}(t)) \right| \stackrel{A}{=} \dots$$

$$\left| \sum_{r=1}^{N_m} \left(\ln(\Psi_{m,r}(t)) + \sigma_{m,r}^2 \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} \right) \right| \leq \dots \stackrel{B}{\dots}$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{r=1}^{N_m} \left| \ln(\Psi_{m,r}(t)) + (1 - \Psi_{m,r}(t)) \right|}_{A_m} + \underbrace{\sum_{r=1}^{N_m} \left| \Psi_{m,r}(t) - 1 + \sigma_{m,r}^2 \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} \right|}_{B_m} \rightarrow 0 \text{ BY LEMMA}$$

WANT: $A_m \rightarrow 0$ FIRST NOTE THAT

$$\left| \Psi_{m,r}(t) - 1 \right| \stackrel{C}{\leq} \frac{3}{2} t^2 \cdot \sigma_{m,r}^2 \text{ BY HW 6.3 (e)}$$

THUS

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq r \leq N_m} \left| \Psi_{m,r}(t) - 1 \right| \stackrel{D}{\leq} \frac{3}{2} t^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq r \leq N_m} \sigma_{m,r}^2$$

$\stackrel{E}{=} 0$ BY @

THUS $\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \exists m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\forall n \geq m_0, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N_m\} : \left| \Psi_{m,r}(t) - 1 \right| \stackrel{E}{\leq} \frac{1}{2}$$

CLAIM:

IF $|z-1| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ THEN $|\ln(z) + 1 - z| \leq |z-1|^2$

PROOF: $|\ln(z) - (z-1)| \leq \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \cdot (z-1)^k}{k} - (z-1) \right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z-1|^k}{k} \leq \frac{1}{2} |z-1|^2 \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^l = |z-1|^2$

IF $n \geq n_0$ THEN

$A_n \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} |1 - \varphi_{n,k}(t)|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \left(\frac{3}{2} \cdot t^2 \cdot \sigma_{n,k}^2\right)^2 \leq \frac{9}{4} \cdot t^4 \cdot \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq N_n} \sigma_{n,k}^2\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \sigma_{n,k}^2\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$K = 1$

REMARK: AN EXAMPLE WHERE LINDBERGF IS NOT APPLICABLE: COUPON COLLECTOR

$\sigma_{n,k}^2 = \frac{n^2}{k^2} - \frac{n}{k}$

$\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{n,k}^2 \sim \text{OPTGEO}\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)$
 $1 \leq k \leq n$

TRIANGULAR ARRAY

HW 7.3

PAGE 126

$$\sigma_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{n,k}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{n^2}{k^2} - \frac{n}{k} \right) \stackrel{A}{\sim} n^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \stackrel{B}{=} n^2 \cdot \zeta(2) \stackrel{C}{\sim} n^2 \cdot \zeta(2)$$

HW 7.3: IF $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_{n,k}$

$X \sim$ STANDARD GUMBEL

THEN

$$\frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{E}{\Rightarrow} \frac{X - \mu}{\sqrt{\zeta(2)}}$$

NOT $N(0,1)$

BUT THIS IS OK, BECAUSE

$$\frac{\sigma_{n,1}^2}{\sigma_n^2} \stackrel{H}{\sim} \frac{n^2/1^2}{n^2 \cdot \zeta(2)} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} > 0, \text{ THUS}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq k \leq N_n} \frac{\sigma_{n,k}^2}{\sigma_n^2} \neq 0$$

THUS LINDBERG CONDITION FAILS

A BIT OF NUMBER THEORY:

DEF: RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION:

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}, \quad s \in (1, +\infty)$$

$\mathcal{P} := \{2, 3, 5, \dots\} =$ SET OF PRIMES

CLAIM:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \quad \text{A}$$

EULER-FORMULA

PROOF:

LET US DEFINE THE R.V. X_1 BY:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = n) = \frac{n^{-s}}{\zeta(s)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \quad \text{B}$$

IF $p \in \mathcal{P}$, LET $E_p := \{p \text{ DIVIDES } X_1\}$ C

$$\mathbb{P}(E_p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = k \cdot p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k \cdot p)^{-s}}{\zeta(s)} = p^{-s} \quad \text{D, E, F}$$

NOW IF p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m ARE DISTINCT PRIMES:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(E_{p_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{p_m}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = k \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m) = \text{H} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m)^{-s}}{\zeta(s)} = p_1^{-s} \cdot \dots \cdot p_m^{-s}, \text{ THUS} \quad \text{I} \end{aligned}$$

THE EVENTS $(E_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ ARE INDEP.

$$\text{THUS: } \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} E_p^c\right)$$

$$= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}(E_p^c) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 - p^{-s}) \quad \checkmark$$