

$$\textcircled{1} \quad P\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(t) - \mathcal{F}_n(t)| > \frac{1}{2^n}\right) = P\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} M_n(t) > \frac{1}{4^n}\right) \quad -1/4-$$

where $M_n(t) := (\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(t) - \mathcal{F}_n(t))^2$ is a ^{non-negative} submartingale
(because it's the square of a martingale).

So by Doob's maximal inequality

$$P\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} M_n(t) > \frac{1}{4^n}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} M_n(T)}{\frac{1}{4^n}} \underset{\substack{\text{assumption of} \\ \text{the exercise}}}{\cancel{\leq}} \leq \frac{1/8^n}{1/4^n} = \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

This is summable, so by the 1st Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(t) - \mathcal{F}_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for all but finitely many } n,$$

almost surely. This means that \mathcal{F}_n is almost surely Cauchy in the sup norm. □

② Let $f(x) = \ln x$ and $Y(t) = f(X(t)) = \ln X(t)$. -2/4-

Then $f'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $f''(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}$, so by Itô's formula

$$dY(t) = \frac{1}{X(t)} dX(t) - \frac{1}{2X^2(t)} (dX(t))^2 = dt + dB(t) - \frac{X^2(t)dt}{2X^2(t)} = \frac{1}{2} dt + dB(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow Y(t) = Y(0) + \frac{1}{2} t + B(t) \quad \text{where } Y(0) = \ln X(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{X(t) = e^{Y(t)} = X(0)e^{\frac{1}{2}t + B(t)}}$$

(3) a.) $dX(t) = -X(t)dt + \sqrt{1-X^2(t)}dB(t) = b(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dB(t)$

with $b(x) = -x$, $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$, so $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x) = \frac{1-x^2}{2}$

So the generator is

$$(A_f)(x) = b(x)f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)f''(x) = -xf'(x) + \frac{1-x^2}{2}f''(x).$$

b.) If $f(x) = Q(1+x) - \ln(1+x)$, then

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x} + \frac{1}{1+x} = \frac{1+x+1+x}{1+x^2} = \frac{2}{1+x^2}$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{+2 \cdot 2x}{(1+x^2)^2} = \frac{4x}{(1+x^2)^2}$$

so $(A_f)(x) = -x \frac{2}{1+x^2} + \frac{1+x^2}{2} \frac{4x}{(1+x^2)^2} = 0 \quad \checkmark$

c.) Let $\mathcal{I} = \min\{\mathcal{I}_a, \mathcal{I}_b\}$. By Dynkin's formula

$$E_{x_0} f(X(\mathcal{I})) = f(x_0) + E \int_0^{\mathcal{I}} (Af)(X(s))ds = f(x_0),$$

since $Af \equiv 0$.

Let $P_a = P_{x_0}(\mathcal{I}_a < \mathcal{I}_b)$, $P_b = P_{x_0}(\mathcal{I}_b < \mathcal{I}_a)$, so

$$\begin{cases} P_a f(a) + P_b f(b) = f(x_0) \\ P_a + P_b = 1 \end{cases} \iff P_a = \frac{f(b) - f(x_0)}{f(b) - f(a)}, \quad P_b = \frac{f(x_0) - f(a)}{f(b) - f(a)}$$

In particular,

$$P_a = \frac{\ln \frac{1+b}{1-b} - \ln \frac{1+x_0}{1+x_0}}{\ln \frac{1+b}{1-b} - \ln \frac{1+a}{1-a}}$$

d.) $P_{x_0}(\mathcal{I}_a < \mathcal{I}_0) = \lim_{b \rightarrow 1} P_a(a, x_0, b) = 1.$

④ The evolution of $p(t,x)$ is given by Kolmogorov's forward equation: in our case

$$\partial_t p(t,x) = \partial_x p(t,x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^2}^2 (1-x^2) p(t,x).$$

$$\text{The function } p(t,x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } -1 < x < 1, t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin (-1,1) \end{cases}$$

is clearly a solution:

$$\partial_x \left(x \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^2}^2 \left((1-x^2) \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left[x - \frac{2x^3}{2} \right] = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

This means that the uniform distribution remains unchanged in time. \square

Remark: for a rigorous proof, one would need to check that non-differentiability at $x=\pm 1$ causes no problem, which is so because ± 1 are never reached by the process - which can be seen from the previous exercise.